

Practice of  
Connecticut.

The Litchfield  
Historical  
Society.

2072

1916-43-0

1.

Of Practice in Connecticut  
But first, (as introductory,)

Of the Jurisdiction of our C<sup>t</sup>. & Law  
in civil causes.

1. Single Magistrate, as Justice of the Peace, &c. have original cognizance of all civil causes, in which the title of land is not concerned, if the matter in demand does not exceed 15 dollars; & of all actions on note or bond, given for money only, and vouched by two witnesses where the demand does not exceed 25 dollars. (St. Co. 26 1 Jan 107 Feb 202.

But an appeal lies to the next C. Ct. if the sum demanded exceeds 7 doll<sup>rs</sup>. except in actions on note &c. for money &c. at sup.<sup>2</sup>. In such cases no appeal. St. Co. 26 1 Jan 107 R. S. 292.

2  
That an arbitration note for more than  
15 dollars, and not exceeding 35, tho' vouched,  
at sup<sup>r</sup>, is not cognizable by a Judge magis-  
trate. It is not for money only, but substantially  
an oblig<sup>e</sup> to abide the award. (1 Sa. 108 1 Root 99  
126) If for more than 7 doll<sup>s</sup> appeal must lie,  
3 Galt House

Whether a note for more than 25 doll<sup>s</sup>, but  
indorsed down below that sum, it being for  
money only & vouched at sup<sup>r</sup>, is within his juris-  
diction. Quare. Decisions & Practice both way.  
(Parve vs Parve, 1. My. M. & G. 1 Sa. 108. 3 Wb  
48 g. 1. My Term No. 66.

In analogy to the rule in case of appeals from  
C. C. (1st 21) it would seem, that a note for  
for more than 15 doll<sup>s</sup> and not exceeding 35, tho'  
for money only & vouched at sup<sup>r</sup> is not within  
his jurisd<sup>n</sup> if either witness is dead or becomes  
interested - as by marrying one of the parties. -  
1 Sa. 108. 1 Root 222 216 Co. Kirk 28<sup>th</sup>



Qui tam pros<sup>ss</sup> (i.e. by forthwith process) are of  
feasible by def<sup>t</sup> (Sensib) however small the dam<sup>s</sup>  
demanded are - of a crim<sup>l</sup> nature. 2 Root 526  
H C. 142.

If a actor of trespass just before a justice be  
for an injury to land, def<sup>t</sup> pleads title, justice  
cannot try the cause. Def<sup>t</sup> recogn<sup>s</sup> with one or  
more. Justice, is a claim, not exceeding 60 toll<sup>s</sup>  
to "plead his plea" at the next C. Ct. in the 6<sup>th</sup>  
in right the land lies, & to "satisfy all dam<sup>s</sup>" to  
(H 125 5) See the A. that def<sup>t</sup> shall "bring  
forward a suit be.")

The Justice must then certify the whole record  
to the C. Ct. (H C. 125 & 126 108 Root 362.

2 Root 54. 359.

Def<sup>t</sup> cannot in this ca. alter his plea in C. Ct.  
(Root 344 5. 408 (491 1.) and last.

If he does not pursue his plea in C. Ct. "he shall  
shall be recorded" & sc<sup>ia</sup> issues from the C. Ct.  
on his recogn<sup>s</sup>. (H 126.

If he does pursue his plea, & fails to prove his  
title, judgm<sup>t</sup> goes ag<sup>t</sup> him for treble damages & costs.  
H. 426 2 Root. 361.

If he refuses to be sworn before the Justice  
"his plea shall abate" & on proof of the trespass judgment  
must be ag'd him. 26 426.

If kept in such action, pleads the great issue, & takes  
upon his title in '2d'; the Justice may determine the  
cause, as in other cases. (1 Root. 110. 435. 349. 2  
Root. 440)

In actions brought for obstructing, or raising the water  
of a river &c. brought before a Justice, defendant pleads  
a right to do the act; appeal lies to C. Jt & thence  
to Sup<sup>r</sup> C<sup>t</sup>. 1 An. 108. 2 C. 30.

Duty of 50 cents to be pd on every appeal from a  
Justice (St. 149. It must be paid at the time of taking  
the appeal (2 Root. 11. 12.) Subsequent payment not suff.  
(2d) In case the record of the Justice be contradictory  
to prove the fact. (Comb. not St. C. 150. 177)

A Justice may take a confession of Judgment for a  
debt (with or without fact) to the am<sup>t</sup> of 50 dollars  
to be taken only from the debtor in person - Record  
is made of the confession, & 24<sup>th</sup> may issue - Record  
must express the particular debt or duty, &c. by words,  
note, book &c. St. C. 248. In this case costs are  
allowed only for the Justice's fee - unless there was an  
antecedent process; & this must appear by the record.  
(1 An. 108. 2d. 132. 236. (4012 2) Root.

Not so of an arbitrator's note (1 Rev 109) viz. before the  
award. (1 Root 328 g. 2 Root 443.

May administer the oath, prescribed by the Rev  
to poor debtors. (1 Co. 221 1 Rev 109)

It is an action before a Justice, a recogn<sup>d</sup> is taken  
for more than 15 dollrs; & the origl judgmt exceeds  
that sum a Recisa will not lie upon it before the  
Justice, but debt before the C. C. (1 Rev 393) & and  
no action lies upon it before the Justice (2 Rev 111)  
not judgit lie before the Justice & 1 Rev 39. & in such  
in all cases to enforce this own judgmt except ag<sup>t</sup>  
garnishee, where the sum demanded exceeds \$15.  
(1 Co. 470.)

Note. A Recisa is a judicial writ issuing regularly  
from a C<sup>t</sup> in with a judgmt has been rendered, for  
the purpose of carrying the judgmt into effect. Ex. ge.  
not ex<sup>g</sup> garnishee. Special Ch<sup>t</sup> 16. (1 Rev 220)  
Sho't lie in some cases pending a fact, & before  
judgmt see 1 Co. of abatom & amendm 1.

Wife, therefore, only from that C<sup>t</sup> by which the  
judgmt was read, or in with the origl fact is  
discharging (1 Rev 220) & regularly, only from that  
C<sup>t</sup> to which it is returnable, exceptions, in case of exge.  
ag<sup>t</sup> garnishee for more than \$15 or a judgmt  
rend<sup>d</sup> by a Justice. In this case it is signed & filed  
by the Justice, but returnable to C. C. (1 Co. 470.)

If a Justice be dead & und<sup>d</sup> judge<sup>d</sup> in any cause, dies or is removed, before ex<sup>ta</sup> granted or satisfied; debt lies on the "Estate" and if the debt or dam<sup>s</sup> does not exceed \$5 doll<sup>s</sup>. the action may be bro<sup>d</sup> before another Justice, &c. & no appeal; if it exceeds that sum before the C. C<sup>t</sup>. But it must be bro<sup>d</sup> within 5 years from the death or removal. It. C. 29. R. L. 398.

A Justice cannot try a cause out of the town, in which he dwells, except where there is no Justice in the town, in which the cause is to be tried, who is qualified to determine it. R. L. 109. 1 Root 202. 300. 313. It. C. 26. It. 6. Cases in criminal cases. 2 Root 357. R. L. 142.

But the Gov<sup>r</sup>, Lt. Gov<sup>r</sup>, - assistants - and Judges of the Superior Court, may, respectively execute the office of Justice throughout the State. But when acting as single magistrates, they have no other judicial powers than Justices. Revised R. L. Jan<sup>y</sup>, as to "Jury" matter R. L. 247.



Appeals from Justices must be entered in the docket of  
 Ct. before the second opening. May appellee enter, if  
 appellant fails, as in Sup<sup>n</sup>. Ct. Part. 2. 2. That is  
 the practice (Check Woodcott) (per)

II. The General Courts of Common Pleas  
 or Ct. C<sup>t</sup>, have original jurisdiction of all civil causes  
 (at law) not cognizable by a Justice of the Peace. So  
 that all civil actions, not thus cognizable, are regu-  
 larly commenced before these Ct. (1 Lu. 101. Ct. C<sup>t</sup>. 292  
 St. C. 28.

Of all civil actions, except on Bond or note at in fra,  
 in which the title of Land is not in question and if the  
 matter in demand <sup>summons</sup> amounts to more than the value of  
 15 dollars but does not exceed the value of 50 dollars,  
 and of all actions on Bond or note, given for money only,  
 and vouched by two witnesses, if the sum in demand  
 exceeds 50 dollars, they have jurisdiction, as well as original jurisdiction  
 except that their judgment may be reversed for want of  
 Error. 1 Lu. 101 St. C. 28. 127 129. Kirk 280. 1 Book  
 294

But an appeal to the Court lies from their judgment  
regularly, in all cases, in which the title of Land is in question.  
2 Root 440 - and in all cases in which the value of the  
matter in dispute exceeds the value of 70 dollars, except in  
actions on note, at Call given for money only, and  
vouched by two witnesses. 4 28. 127. 129. 130. 95.  
1 Root 148.

In an action for trespass on Land demanding not  
more than 70 doll<sup>r</sup> no appeal lies, unless title be  
pleaded (2 Root 440) Co<sup>d</sup> of title under the 70<sup>d</sup> &  
issue not Call<sup>d</sup>.  
+ obtained in  
Con. 1st. 2, 3.  
70.

But it has been decided, that the right of appeal  
does not depend upon the sum demanded, or damages,  
except where the dam<sup>s</sup> are presumptive, as in case of  
mort. (1 Geo. 95. R. S. 395.) & where in case of cont<sup>d</sup> the  
dam<sup>s</sup> cannot be ascertained, without introducing  
co<sup>d</sup> extrinsic. 1 Root 148. 518.

The rule is that if it appears from the record, that  
acc<sup>d</sup> to the rules, for ascertaining dam<sup>s</sup> judgment cannot  
be rendered for a greater sum than 70 dollars, the title  
of Land not being in question, no appeal lies. If granted  
it will abate, or the judgment and is sup<sup>d</sup> Call<sup>d</sup>. Just  
as can may be asserted. 1 Root 525. Co. V. H. in Cook v. H.  
H. says that if it appears 70 doll<sup>r</sup> & demands 80. Co.  
or a note or bond for 20 dollars or 90. (1 Geo. 95. 96.

Herb. 250. 1 Root. 202. 127 238. 276. 518. Such a case  
dismissed by the Ct. ex officio. 1 Root. 525. 2 Root. 270  
377. Herb. 35. 2 Root. 127 42.

So, the 'plff. in book debt declares on a debt of more  
than 70 doll<sup>s</sup>, and demands more; yet if it appears from  
his own book or oyer, that no more is due, debt by  
placing it on the record in 'his oyer' to the appeal in  
C. Ct. may prevent an appeal. 1 Root. 518. Rev. 96. Herb 278.

In an action on arbitr & note for more than 70 doll<sup>s</sup> if  
it appears from the record, that neither the matter in  
controversy, nor the award, exceeds 70 doll<sup>s</sup>; no  
appeal lies. (1 Root 127 238. 1 Sa. 95-6.) tho' if the  
note is for more than 70 doll<sup>s</sup>, the case is prima facie  
appealable.

In an action on note or bond for more than 70 doll<sup>s</sup>  
given for money only, & endorsed by two witnesses, if one  
is dead or becomes interested, an appeal lies to C. Ct.  
1 Root 232. 216. Herb. 387. con. 1 Rev. 96. 1 Root. 566.

In an action, upon a receipt, agt an officer for not executing an ex<sup>ca</sup> no appeal lies, whatever the Jan. demanded is. 1 Pl. 385. 1 Lu. 101. Root 183. Since if it is for not executing, in ex<sup>ca</sup> (proc<sup>ess</sup>) receipt when action is brought before a Justice: So for not executing, So an ex<sup>ca</sup> on a judicial judgm<sup>t</sup> sufficed before them, for more than 7 tolls. 1 Pl. 386.

So in action on a rec<sup>t</sup> by an off<sup>r</sup>, agt a receiptman of fees & prop<sup>ty</sup> taken in ex<sup>ca</sup>. 1 Pl. 386. 1 Lu. 101. Since, if taken & receipted upon attachment. (Kib. 40.

So, on a judgm<sup>t</sup> rend<sup>d</sup> upon an award of auditors 1 Pl. 37. 1 Lu. 97.

If a cause is not appealable by the Pl. no agt<sup>t</sup> of the parties in the Ct. app<sup>o</sup> to, can make it so. By Ag<sup>t</sup> to increase the demand by amendm<sup>t</sup>. (2 Root. Co. 377 8.

No appeal lies from a judgm<sup>t</sup> by default, unless there was a hearing in dam<sup>ns</sup>, def<sup>t</sup> not otherwise supposed to be in Ct. 1 Lu. 96. Kib. 11. 1 Root 566 and it is that can be heard, in the Court appealable, to only as to the dam<sup>ns</sup>. Root. 566. (1. 409. 4. 100)

But on judgm<sup>t</sup> upon nil dicit, appeal lies. Def<sup>t</sup> in Court. 1 Lu. 96. 1 Root 109. & the def<sup>t</sup> may plead & defend in the Ct. to which he. 1 Root 566.

No appeal lies from Co. Ct. in a qui tam proc<sup>ess</sup> for a crime. It is in form, & partly in effect a criminal proceed<sup>g</sup>. 1 Lu. 96. 1 Root 403. Kib. 269. No L. 100.



No appeal lies to an adjourned Ct. (Hibb 366. 1 Sw. 96.  
"Next Sup<sup>a</sup> Ct." (H. 25.

Appeal may be taken from a judgm<sup>t</sup> or a plea in abatement<sup>t</sup> without waiting for judgm<sup>t</sup> in chief. But if def<sup>t</sup> appeals from such judgm<sup>t</sup> & does not "make good his plea" in the Ct. app<sup>l</sup> to; costs shall be awarded ags him, or the judgm<sup>t</sup> or the plea in abatement<sup>t</sup> & in "issue, tho' he sh<sup>d</sup> prevail on the merits. (H. C. 22. 2 Sw. 269. H.L. 392. 1 Kest. 564.) & he cannot alter the Ct. above 1 Kest. 564.

The appeal must be taken during that term, in which judgm<sup>t</sup> is rendered. (1 Sw. 96. H. 28.) It may be taken at any time during the term; but if practicable to move for it immediately after ver<sup>d</sup><sup>t</sup>, or on an issue to the Court after judgm<sup>t</sup> day, or <sup>it</sup> may issue, & a Subseq<sup>t</sup> appeal, it is <sup>it</sup> is no supersedeas. (H.L. 390.)

Appeals to Sup<sup>a</sup> Ct. must be entered in the docket before the second opening of the Ct. or the appellant must advance the whole costs to the time of entering, and he cannot enter at all, after the Jury are dismissed. 1 Sw. 96-7. H. C. 28.

An appeal disposes the judgm<sup>t</sup> appealed from unless the Ct. app<sup>l</sup> to, waives dissent. Even then, if Sup<sup>a</sup> Ct. the judgm<sup>t</sup> is superseded, till the appeal is quashed above. H.L. 392.

But if appellant does not enter before the Jury, or is dismissed, the appellee may enter afterwards, & have the judgment affirmed with additional costs. (S. 28, 1 Ann. 967) or he may sue on the bond (post) the judgment <sup>will</sup> be in the Court above, is a distinct, substantive judgment except in case of appellee's entering.

Duty of one dollar payable on every appeal from Ct. Ct. 1799, 1 Root. 470. 2 Root 11. Kibb. 51. If not completed, the appeal is void. Kibb. 51.

It must be paid at the time of taking the appeal, or the appeal will abate. 2 Root 11-12. Can the record of the Ct. be contradicted to prove the fact? Lomb. not. (S. 1 Co. 130)

It has been decided that an and <sup>in</sup> quodammodo is within the 1<sup>st</sup> as to appeals, and of course appealable from Ct. to Ct. 1 Root 56.

Either party may appeal; if plaintiff recovers any thing less than his whole demand. — Cases where the judgment is altogether in one's favor. He cannot. (1 Root 318. Kibb. 2 Root 370. — Or, both may appeal & if either enters it is sufficient.)

If appeal is denied, when it ought to be allowed, error lies. (1 Root 518 56.) So if allowed, and the Ct above does not quash it (2 Root 377.) In still error, <sup>in</sup> indecision in the allowance of the appeal & I should think not; as ado<sup>r</sup> may be taken in the Ct app<sup>l</sup> to.

If a cause is not appealable, I motion for an appeal is made; obj<sup>n</sup> may be made to the motion, in the Ct in re<sup>l</sup> to. 1 Root 518 or the appeal may be a bate, in the Ct to w<sup>h</sup>ch see. H<sup>is</sup>p. 278. Root 210 127) or if a verdict is given ag<sup>t</sup> him in the lower judgm<sup>t</sup> may be reversed. (1 Root 525) or the cause dismissed by the Court ex officio. 1 Root 325 or writ of Error lies, if judgm<sup>t</sup> is ag<sup>t</sup> him in Ct above.

(For the Equitable Jurisd<sup>n</sup> in Ct see Power of Ct)

The time allowed for pleading in abatem<sup>t</sup> of an appeal is the same as is allowed for ordinary pleas in a bate for 1 Root 526 564. Explain what Appeals.

III. <sup>the</sup> The Superior Court has no original jurisd<sup>n</sup> in civil causes, properly so called. (H<sup>is</sup>p. 127 & 128 94-5) It has, indeed, orig<sup>n</sup> jurisd<sup>n</sup> when a fact is not ag<sup>t</sup> an officer upon the Ct, (but this is not properly a civil suit No 4. 4. 6. 2) for not executing a writ returnable to or an ex<sup>co</sup> jud by itself. 1 Root 530 1. in q<sup>u</sup> 2 Root 231. Action may be brought at Common Law to Ct Ct, & this is the usual practice. 1 Root 94

ante

This Court indeed gives writs of Scire fac, return-  
able to itself; to enforce its own Judgm<sup>t</sup>; but this  
is a judicial not an origl writ (ante l. 2) and it  
generally grows out of the appellate Jurisd<sup>n</sup> of the Ct.  
1 W. 28. 1 Sw. 97

It has appellate Jurisd<sup>n</sup> of many causes, determined in  
the Co. Ct<sup>s</sup> (explained ante Tit<sup>o</sup> II) Its appellate Jurisd<sup>n</sup>  
of causes decided by city courts is generally the same,  
as of those decided by Co. Ct<sup>s</sup> (See the 1<sup>st</sup>

And an appeal lies to this Ct<sup>y</sup> from every Judgment  
order or decree of the Courts of Probate. 1 Sw. 97. Pl. 133-4.  
(For its Equitab<sup>l</sup>. Jurisd<sup>n</sup> for "Powers of Ex<sup>or</sup>.")

It has Jurisd<sup>n</sup> of all Writs of Error, from the reversal  
of judgments rendered by Co. Ct<sup>s</sup> or High magistrates in  
civil & criminal cases or of decrees in Eq<sup>y</sup> passed by  
Co. Ct<sup>s</sup>. 1 W. 161. 2. 1 Sw. 97

When, on reversal, p<sup>ty</sup> would enter his action in Co. Ct<sup>y</sup>  
for trial, he must do it, in that Court, in writ the judgment  
of reversal is rendered. (1 Root 95.

Its Jurisd<sup>n</sup> in case of Divorce, Mazdamus, Prohibition  
& Rabies Cogno are treated of under their respective  
titles. 1 W. 347



Note. A party may appeal from a judgment in a plea in abatement. When an appeal is by law allowed without proceeding to final judgment in the Ct below. And if a defendant judgment of appeal, enters pleas to the action, instead of appealing, he cannot, after appeal, from final judgment take any order in the Ct appellate to, of the plea in abatement. Usage.

IV. <sup>thly</sup> The Superior Court of Errors has jurisdiction (in all respects final) of all writs of Error, both for the reversal of any judgment or decree of the Sup<sup>r</sup> Ct in matters of Law or Equity, where the error complained of is apparent on the record. But has no cognizance of errors in fact. J. C. 126-7.

V. <sup>thly</sup> The General Assembly has cognizance by petition of cases in which no other Ct can grant relief provided that the matter in demand exceeds £ 25.

Of the proceedings by which civil  
rights are enforced in our  
Courts of Justice.

An action or suit is defined to be the lawful demand  
"of one's right: 2 Bl. 116.

The first stage of a suit in Court is the Writ and  
Declaration which go together: 2 Sa. 188. P. C. 24.

The Writ.

I. The Writ consists of all that precedes the plea<sup>t</sup>  
of the plaintiff's claim; of the pleadings, the certificate of a  
jury paid & the recognition where there is one. The  
date is common to the Writ & Declaration: 2 Sa. 188.

The process contained in our Writ is of two kinds:  
1 By summons. 2 By Attachment: P. C. 24. 2 Sa. 188  
note 5.

The process is meant the means of compelling the defendant  
to appear in Court; or in the case of holding him to trial.  
3 Bl. 279. 2 Sa. 188. Ch. C. as the defendant appears with  
the writ; it is not necessary to entitle the plaintiff to judgment  
that defendant should appear (as in Cap. 7. 5 B. 6.)  
2 Sa. 192. By 4. 12. pro. I. a compulsory appearance may  
be entered; i.e. compulsory plea plea for defendant by plaintiff  
Add. 125. (N<sup>o</sup> 8. 3. 9. 5.

This process contained in the original writ is called original  
or main process as contradistinguished from final  
or conclusive process (3 Bl. 279.

In Bag<sup>2</sup> there is a process distinct from the orig<sup>l</sup> writ. The Writ.  
 3 Bl 270. 277. 280. when the writ is a proceper, proceper,  
 when a si' fieri to seisum. 3 Bl 274. 1 Aff<sup>l</sup> viii  
 and xiii. In Bag<sup>2</sup> a writ 281 2. I declare "ags<sup>l</sup> are  
only, is regular in case of test. (Res & Val. 19. 49.

The writ must be signed by a Magistrate, as a Justice  
Assistant &c. or by the Clerk of the C<sup>t</sup> to which it is  
returnable; and must describe the C<sup>t</sup> to which &c. &  
 the time and place of its issuance. (A. C. 24. 2 Jan 187.  
 A. J. 24. 2 Jan 187. garnisher on a writ "return" by a Justice  
magistrate must be signed by him, even when return  
able to the C. C<sup>t</sup>. (A. C. 24.

It commands the officer or person to whom directed, to  
summon (i.e. to give notice to) def<sup>t</sup> to appear; or to  
attach his est<sup>e</sup> or person, & have him to appear before  
 the Court &c. (A. C. 24. 2 Jan 187.

It is, regularly, directed to the Sh<sup>l</sup> of the C<sup>t</sup> in which  
 the def<sup>t</sup> dwells, his deputy, or other constable of the town,  
 or ward &c. (A. C. 24. 2 Jan 187. A. C. 333-4. Constables  
 have in pract the same powers, within their respective  
towns, as Sh<sup>l</sup>s in their Quarters. (A. C. 334. 1 Nov. 187.  
 A Constable, chosen & sworn in one year & affirmed  
incorrupt, may serve process before he is sworn a second  
time. (1 Nov. 83-4. 1 Nov. 625.

The Writ. It may be directed to the Sheriff only, or a constable only.

And a writ directed to the Sheriff may be served by his deputy, tho' not named even a special deputy. R. 6 240. Co. 403. 1 Bl. 116. 339. Sal 12. p. 6. Holt 221. Rob 12. 12. 1 Bl. R. 339. So, in England.

Ordinarily, the writ can be directed to no other, than one of the above officers.

By new. St. (1804.) no writ may be directed to any indiff. person, unless there are two or more diff. described of diff. counties, except where in case of attachment Sheriff or his agent or att. shall make affidavit that he verily believes Sheriff is in danger of losing his writ is (St. 674) affidavit endorsed on the writ. (St.

The indiff. person need not make oath to the truth of his return. 1 Root 284. 2. Thus of a special deputy-Sheriff. St. C. 336.



That the indiff<sup>t</sup> person is Bondsman for poor<sup>t</sup> The Writ.  
does not disqualify him. So, as to Shff<sup>t</sup> Constables.  
1 Root. 225.

The certificate of the magistrate, as to the necessity  
of directing to an indiff<sup>t</sup> person, is conclusive, that  
284-5 Mich. Co. 2 Dec. 188.

Holden and the Duke & Co<sup>t</sup> that a direction to the  
Shff. or an indiff<sup>t</sup> person was ill, but, that a  
direction to the Shff. and an indiff<sup>t</sup> person was  
be good. (1 Root 285.

See as to the last branch.

If the return of a writ directed to an indiff<sup>t</sup>  
person, is altered from one time, or time, to another,  
the writ will abate. The necessity might exist at  
one time, & not at another (1 Root 2 Root 347.

The Writ

A writ ag<sup>t</sup> a town may be directed to an inhabitant of the town, as an indiff<sup>t</sup> person. 2 Ju 128

A writ directed to a minor, as an indiff<sup>t</sup> person, will abate. (2 Root, 517)

A constable having begun service within the limits of his town (as by attaching p<sup>r</sup> op<sup>r</sup>) may go into another to complete it - as to leave a copy. (Blake vs Ramsay C.C. 101, "Service" see Root 407) A writ ag<sup>t</sup> a dep<sup>t</sup> of the town of A. may be directed to a constable of the town of B. - If he makes service in the town of B. it is good. But he cannot serve it in the town of A. (1 Root. 407)

All writs, or declar<sup>ns</sup> "reason by J<sup>st</sup>s, their deputies, or constables, except in their own suits, shall abate" 11 Co. 207

A Deputy J<sup>st</sup> cannot, I conceive, serve a writ for or upon, the J<sup>st</sup>. Since he acts for the J<sup>st</sup>. And so his authe<sup>ty</sup>. But one deputy may chase, I conceive, serve a writ for or upon another. So J<sup>st</sup> may serve for or upon his deputy. (See vs J<sup>st</sup> vs Com. W. 101, 102. C. 101)

post.

Writ must be signed by a magistrate or Justice the Writ.  
 &c. or Clerk of the Court &c. (at alt.)

But a Justice can issue original process only  
 throughout the County, in which he dwells. 2 Inst. 17.  
 St. R. 247.

By new St. 1804. He may issue into an adjoining  
 county, such process, as is returnable into his own County.  
 Does not do, as to process in civil cases. St. 179.

He may issue even process, to bring a delinquent  
 before him self. Process of ex. in civil cases, through-  
 out the State. So he may issue a summons or <sup>caption</sup> ~~caption~~  
 or writs in the first case through the State. St. 6.  
 247 179. Kirk 182.

A Justice may ~~also~~ <sup>sign</sup> a writ in favor of the towns,  
 in which he dwells. Can cause (I judge) get it. 2 Inst.  
 187-8. 1 Root. 179.

The Writ.

Clerks of the County of Sup<sup>r</sup> & Ct. can sign writs, returnable to their respective Courts but no other.

St. 24, 1 Lu. 100.

(Acc<sup>ts</sup> to usage writs of Error must be signed by a Judge of the Court to which it is returnable 2 Lu. 274) not to be issued without, probably, mand<sup>o</sup> for error. St.

Formerly, the Clerk of the Sup<sup>r</sup> & Ct. could issue mesne process, returnable to the Sup<sup>r</sup> & Ct. in any part of the State. See. Now, since there is a Clerk in each County &

but the Clerks of both the Sup<sup>r</sup> & Ct. & Ct. & Ct. may clearly issue process, returnable to their respective Ct<sup>s</sup>. St. C. 24, 129, 131. Throughout their respective Counties.

They may also Issue mesne crim process, returnable to their respective Ct<sup>s</sup> to any part of the State; i.e. in term-time, under the order of the Ct<sup>s</sup>.



formerly Judges of the Ct. B. & Justice of the West-  
the Quorum, could not issue orig<sup>l</sup> civil process out  
of their respective Counties. Afterwards enabled by  
the to issue such process into any part of the State, if  
returnable to their own County. 2 Lu. 182. P. 424.

Now, by a Code it they are authorized to  
"issue process in all real matters" to be tried in any  
part of the State: whether returnable to their own  
or any other, Ct. (P. C. 499.

The Gov<sup>t</sup>, Judges of Sup<sup>r</sup> Ct. Appellate  
(and now Judges & Justice of Ct. B. & Justice) can  
in all civil cases (for money as well as real  
property, that will run thro' the State. (P. C. 297 499.

The first describes the place in which the def<sup>t</sup> dwells  
so that in which plff dwells. - These in ordinary  
cases are the only necessary additions. P. C. 212 &  
2 Lu 187. But when the office or civil character of  
the def<sup>t</sup> or plff is the inducement to the action that  
must be added. Ex. 24<sup>th</sup> & 26 - chffs 16. See "Henderson"

## The Writ

In all writs, in civil cases there must be paid a sheriff at the time of their issuing. If returnable before a Justice magistrate, 17 cents. If before a Justice of the Peace, 2 dollars. If before a Justice of the Peace, 2 dollars. If before a Justice of the Peace, 2 dollars. If before a Justice of the Peace, 2 dollars.

Payment of the duty must be certified on the writ, in words at full length, to the magistrate issuing. If to 100. Otherwise not. If the writ may be returnable before the sheriff, without a fee. (Stat. sec. 46.)

The writ cannot be amended, by inserting the certificate, more than the plaintiff offers to pay the duty in Court. Stat. sec. 46.

And a writ once filled up against one person, cannot be converted into a writ against another, unless there is a further certificate of the payment of a second duty. If it is, the Court may ex officio strike it & lay costs for do. (Stat. sec. 46.)

The same duties are payable on quitam process. - The Writ -  
 outions (2 Root 526.) Not on public process (ex i) by  
 inferior officers &c. - Decided by Sup. r. 6<sup>th</sup>  
 that plff. may take adv<sup>t</sup>. of the want of a certificate  
 of due paid by writ of Error after judgment for  
 def<sup>t</sup>. Aug<sup>th</sup> 1804. Litch<sup>l</sup> & Co.

On every writ of attachment the plff. must give Coll<sup>l</sup>  
 security to prosecute his action to effect. It is answered  
 "all dam<sup>n</sup> in case he make not his plea good." It. 24.  
 1 Root 568. No security is to be taken to the adverse  
 party. (It. C. 25.) as all bonds for process are. (It.  
 24. 6. 278.)

This security is called a bond for process. It is given  
 by way of recogniz<sup>l</sup> & acknowledged before the magis-  
 trate. For every writ, & at the time of its issuing.  
 It. C. 24. 1 Root 568.

In Eng<sup>d</sup> security may be required of foreign plff.  
 not residing there - but of no others. 1 Bos & C. 26.  
 276. 1 Root 568. 284. n. 6.

The Writ

Is the recogn<sup>e</sup> intended as a security for the  
 prop<sup>y</sup> attached & for any dam<sup>s</sup> occasioned by the at-  
 tackment & or only for the costs to not decided. Justice  
 holds security for costs only. For costs it  
 certainly is a security.

But it has been decided, that plff's recogn<sup>e</sup> is suff<sup>t</sup>  
 if he is able to pay costs. 100 101 102  
 103 & the com<sup>on</sup> practice is to receive this recogn<sup>e</sup>.  
 This decision was founded on usage (Root 166). The  
 C<sup>t</sup> held, that the recogn<sup>e</sup> was a security for costs only.

If however, the object of the bond is to secure costs;  
 this practice is useless. For the plff is liable for costs without  
 or without the object is to furnish a security for the  
 prop<sup>y</sup> attached, the provision of the W<sup>r</sup> is defective.

The latter I conceive, was the object of the W<sup>r</sup> (Root 100)  
 If however, plff's security is in eff<sup>t</sup> a new bond may  
 be ordered, on motion to the Court to void the writ -  
 is returned. 1 Root 166.



Saidly decided, that a bond for peace on a Black The West.  
 writ, was not good, & that the writ must abate. Because  
 it could not be taken to the adverse party. (S. C. 10  
 London 64

According to usage - bond for peace must be taken on  
 the qui tam pross by Yorkshire prosses - as the deft's  
 body is attached (in arrest).

Now, where a qui tam pross action is brought by persons  
 of honour. Here the rule is the same, as in other  
 cases of honour.

Bond for peace must be given, "by some habitable  
inhabitant of this State" in every case in which a writ  
 issues in favor of one, who is not an inhabitant of  
 this State. S. C. 24. even tho' the pross is by honour.

If the bond is not given in the above cases the writ  
 may be abated.

The Brit

The Board's proposal is to be given by James Johnson  
inhabitant be on the spring of any rent, it appears  
to the author's opinion, that the self the inhabitant  
is unable to respond the cost; that may be recovered  
p. 24.

But in the first case, I conceive, the worst case, at the  
 choice to the Court to make it is returne?, you must  
 of a Court. For the Signature, I suppose is conclusive.  
 as <sup>to</sup> the fact of the p<sup>l</sup>'s inability to pay coin, &c.  
 was appear to the Magistrate.

But, in this case the diffy is, in material by Sept 8<sup>th</sup> 1899  
is his inability in the Coast-to-act the writ is  
too wide, confessional, to give him a fair pass<sup>st</sup> with Justice  
Party, or to be non-Justice. So if his inability occurs  
after the writ signed. (H.C. 29)

But such motion should be made in a reasonable time, if possible. Motion after the jury was impaneled to try the cause, decided to be too late. Writ. 21/4.

If the security taken is apparently suff<sup>t</sup> at the time, the magistrate is not responsible, on its proving insuff<sup>t</sup> as if the bondsman fails. (1 Thos. 168) & this rule holds even tho' suff<sup>t</sup> sole bond is taken. (21)

So, on writ of rehabeas, if the security is apparently suff<sup>t</sup> (not suff<sup>t</sup>) he is not liable. Except when suff<sup>t</sup> bond is taken — in this case if suff<sup>t</sup> is not eventually of ability to pay, the magistrate is, at all events, liable. This cannot be apparently suff<sup>t</sup>. For it takes the creditor's security away, i.e. the prop<sup>t</sup> attached, & leaves him as if nothing had been attached. (1 Thos. 165 168 St. 261) & Co. Sta. requires "security to prosecute &c. and satisfy I answer" "such dam<sup>t</sup> demands &c. &c."

The Writ.

On every writ of Error Bond with Jurely must  
be given, that Jofft shall prosecute &c. and answer &c.  
(H. 6. 162. H. L. 110. 111.) Jofft's Bond not good.

Every Jurely, applying from the Judgment of one Ct.  
to another, must give Bond for Jures with Jurely,  
appellants Bond not Jofft (H. 25. 20.) formerly  
not required on appeal from a Justice. 1 Root. 208.

An appellant & Jurely are bound that the Jurely shall  
prosecute his appeal to effect. By this is not meant,  
that unless appellant prosecutes, the Bond is forfeited. But  
that it is, if he does not prosecute in the appeal. For the  
appeal destroys the Judgment (H. L. 390)

If appellant does prosecute his appeal and Jurely, the  
Jurely is liable for costs, if they are not paid by the  
appellant. & Jurely, the costs before & after the appeal.  
H. L. 390 2 Cur. 175. that Bondsman on appeal by Jurely  
is liable only for the costs subject to the appeal &c.



that he is liable for costs only ( & not for them the writ.  
 & collectable from the defendant appellant ). Is it accept<sup>d</sup>  
 for appellants to take out ex<sup>te</sup> & have a non est & return  
 as to appellants personal prop<sup>y</sup> 4. 6 Hob. 399. 2u. which  
 ( Root 315 ) that non est return is not accept<sup>d</sup> to subject  
 bondsmen for appellants costs 2u. Then assign will be  
 on the recognizance or debt. Therefore Holders & that is  
 that the return of non est is not accept<sup>d</sup> to subject  
 appellants bondsmen on an appeal ( 2u.

The proceeding is the same in the other cases of bonds  
 to prosecute ( ante ) On non est as to the principals for  
 prop<sup>y</sup> 4 the surety is liable. R. L. 399. The undersheriff  
 or the principal or the ex<sup>te</sup> will not discharge the  
 bondsmen ( Root 55-6. ) Indeeds nothing but paym<sup>t</sup>  
 of the costs discharges him ( 2b.

The Trial  
post

The giving of final trial, does not exonerate the  
defendant, on appeal (post). Nor does the  
bond on appeal, when plff. appeals, discharge the bondman  
for pross on the orig. hearing. — Bondman for  
plff. on appeal is liable for costs, if def. prevails, tho'  
plff. dies before the return of the ct. (1 Root. 244) 2d.  
If def. prevails, & concesses, if def. appeals & dies, at judgm.  
when plff. prevails.

Post

Bonds for pross not within the Stat. Limit<sup>n</sup> as to trial.  
See post trial. 1 G. 39 1 Root. 562. 563. 564. 565.  
Post 7.  
Right of the plff. before judgm. discharges the bond  
for pross. 1 Root. 259.

A judgm. in favor of the defendant, is final as to  
the bondman, on the appeal, tho' on a new trial,  
judgm. is given for the opposite party. (1 Root. 269.  
See post where if the first judgm. is reversed by that  
of Error. 1 Root. 102. 567. 2 G. 15. &

In transitory actions to be tried by Jury or Ct  
County the writ is to be made returnable in that Ct  
in which plff. or def<sup>t</sup> dwells. St. C. 26. 2 Dec. 191.  
W. R. 299.

The Writ.

When returnable.

This rule fully in actions ag<sup>t</sup> officers at com. law upon  
except for ex<sup>pt</sup> (1 Root 90-1. St. 284) that where they  
are complained of under the St. the writ must be  
brought to that Ct. to which the ex<sup>pt</sup> is returnable, (So of  
magistrate's). (Root 90. St. 284. Host.) And it may  
be in a dist<sup>ct</sup>. St. 284 before the Jury or Ct. (1 Root 113) if  
either party dwells there. When the  
title of land is concerned, the writ must be re-  
turnable to some Court in that County in which  
the land lies. (St. 26. 2 Dec. 191.)

Test.

A qui tam action may be brought in the County in  
which plff. or def<sup>t</sup> dwells, as in common civil actions.  
St. 401. Cr. C. 645.

The Writ

Subs before single magistrates must be pronounced in the town in which plaintiff or defendant dwell, except where there is no magistrate in either, who can lawfully try them. Then plaintiff may sue before a magistrate in one of the towns adjoining his own. P. 26.

But a writ of Error (brought to the Superior Court) must be returnable in that County, in which the judgment complained of, was rendered. (Root 259) So of petitions for new trials, Root 255.

In transitory actions in Eng. the venue may be changed, on motion, for reasonable cause not of course and never by plea, 1 Bos & Hall 20. 1 Mac. 35. 3 Ai 669 670. 1 St. 735. 3 Bl. 294. 2 Ma. 871.

Time of Return

Writs returnable to C. & G. must be returned to the Clerk's office on or before the day next preceding the first day of the term. P. C. 25. R. L. 292.



Later returns are, however, allowable, if consented the writ  
to by the parties. So, without consent, under extenuated  
circumstances. As if an accident befalls the officer on his  
way to the office, or if he is suddenly taken sick,  
just before the return. R. L. 592.

All writs & judgments returnable to Sup<sup>a</sup> Ct. must be  
returned to the Clerk, before the second opening  
of the Court. (1 Root. 563.)

Writs returnable to the County or Sup<sup>a</sup> Ct. must  
be made returnable to the term next following the  
date, if there is full<sup>a</sup> time intervening. 1 Root. 316-6  
Secs. It is ~~error~~ (and I suppose) writ as in Case?  
3 W. 541. ("Has. Co. 1.")

Process and ServiceII. Of Process & Service

This is of two kinds. Summons & Attachment

(cont)

ante.

1. When the process is a Summons, Service is made by reading the writ in def<sup>t</sup>'s hearing, or leaving an attested copy with him or at the place of his usual abode.

R. C. 24 §. 2. Sec. 18. 1 Root. 497

When husband and wife are joined, one copy is suff<sup>t</sup>.  
1 Root. 478.

If the officer makes Service by reading, and indorses Service by reading, the leaving of a copy, which is not true, will not abate the writ. (1 Root. 497)

An acknowledgment of Service, indorsed by def<sup>t</sup>'s att<sup>y</sup> not specially authorized to do it, does not conclude the def<sup>t</sup>. He may abate the writ. 1 Root. 406.

Qu. Has it not been decided, that such acknowledgment by def<sup>t</sup>'s himself, does not conclude him?

Decided that petitions must be proved by Process and Service  
 copy. 2 Ju. 471 (see as to writs of Error - 2 Ju. 277)  
 Lately so decided by Chief J. C. that Writ of Error  
 may be proved by reading Augt. 1802. 2 Ju. 278

On petitions for New Trials Writs of Error &c.  
 if they come out of the State, service is made by leaving  
 a copy with his att<sup>y</sup> here. (2 Ju. 189)

If a person, residing out of the State, is found within  
 it, a summons issued against him by reading as  
 copy is suff<sup>t</sup> to hold him to trial. Cont. (2 Ju.  
 189)

2. Attachments are, regularly, proved by attaching  
 the prop<sup>t</sup> or copy of the debt. (2 Ju. 25. 2 Ju. 189)

(For the Con<sup>n</sup> Law relating to Debtors see Jff<sup>s</sup> 2. 4.  
 2. 1. 8c.)

Process & Service.  
Attachment.

"Hear. Co."

But it is well settled, that service by reading or copy is sufficient to hold debtors to trial not cause of abatement - tho' the officers may be liable to plaintiff  
 1 Root 54 128 563. 2 Root. 120 276. (Hear. Co. 71.)

The officer has no right to take debtors body, if he can find personal estate sufficient to answer the demands, and take the same to belong to debtors (2 Ch. 139. 10th 1400. St. C. 24. (Treaty at Comm. Law) Sears, if it is not sufficient

But the officer ought not to be liable to plaintiff or debtors for omitting to take personal estate, if he is disqualified to return it belonging to plaintiff or to debtors. Co. C. 10th 1803. At Comm. Law. the officer in such case may summon a jury to ascertain to whom it belongs; if he does not he takes or omits to take, the property at his peril. 4. The 622 648 2 R. B. 428

And, if it is proven, has no cause of complaint, Process and Service  
for the taking of the body, unless he tendered first Attachment  
prop<sup>d</sup> to the officer. (N.E.L. 400)

Decided by Sup<sup>r</sup> & C<sup>t</sup> that the officer having taken  
deft's body, is bound before commitments to accept first  
prop<sup>d</sup> if tendered; and to discharge the body  
2 Geo. 1<sup>st</sup>. (Hans, liable to deft (N.E.L. 400.)  
in false imprisonment, by of arms, or goal process.  
1 Root. 120. At 34. 1774. 407. 2. Decided contra  
by (C<sup>t</sup> of C. (1 Root 124) but Chalden, that the officer  
may do it.

And he cannot hold both property & body. N.E.L. 400

The deft's land is also liable to be taken by attachment;  
but the officer is not bound to take land, when he can  
find the body - nor, in deed, is he justified, as against the  
plff in so doing, unless he is so directed by the plff  
2 Geo. 1<sup>st</sup>. N.E.L. 367.



Process and Service.  
Attachment.

An arrest of the body may be made by an officer of the off<sup>r</sup> in his comp<sup>y</sup> - not out of it - tho' he may be out of sight (Exp. 604 Bar St. 26. reg<sup>d</sup> Consp. 604.

If property, real or personal is attached, the off<sup>r</sup> must leave with the deft<sup>r</sup> or at his usual place of abode, if within this State, a true copy of the writ, with a description of the prop<sup>y</sup> attached. It 34-5. 2 Cas 190

If real estate is attached, the off<sup>r</sup> must also leave a true copy &c. at the town clerk's office, within 7 days next after attaching the estate & before the time for serving the writ has expired. Thus, it is not helden and any other creditor, or bona fide purchaser. Res. 103. It. 35.

That the omission of this copy will not abate the writ - it is intended merely to give notice to other creditors and purchasers. It. 35. 2 Cas 190.

Personal est<sup>e</sup> attached is not holden to report Process and Service  
 the Judgm<sup>t</sup> (either ag<sup>t</sup> the debtor or any other) unless Attachment.  
ex<sup>t</sup> is taken out and levied upon it, within 60  
 days, after final Judgm<sup>t</sup> i.e. the lien is lost, except  
 where it is under a prior incumbrance; & then it  
 is not holden, unless ex<sup>t</sup> is taken out and levied,  
 within 60 days, after the incumbrance is removed.  
 H. 35. 2 Nov. 189. 190. R. 6. 40.

So, the lien on real estate is lost, unless ex<sup>t</sup> is levied  
 upon it, and the levy and appraisal are recorded  
 within 4 mo<sup>th</sup> s. except in the case of a prior in-  
 cumbrance, in which case the proceedings must be  
 completed within 4 months after the incumbrance  
 removed. H. 35. 2 Jan. 190.

If pers<sup>n</sup> prop<sup>t</sup> suff<sup>r</sup> to satisfy, the ex<sup>t</sup> has been paid  
 on ex<sup>t</sup> the J<sup>st</sup> cannot have a new ex<sup>t</sup> nor (genl)  
 debt on the Judgm<sup>t</sup>. Rec. Ch. Ex<sup>t</sup>. P. p. 355. Sal. 322.  
 2. Med. 244.

Process and Service  
Attachments.

Clearly decided, that officer cannot attach  
real estate without entering upon it. (1st. N. H. v. Brown  
1877 July 1892.

If a person is in custody of an officer under an  
arrest in one cause, delivering to the officer an  
attachment against the same person, for another  
cause is a good arrest. (Ceph. Cov. 5 Co. 89

When personal chattels are attached, the officer  
regularly takes them into his custody, & holds them for  
the purpose of levying, &c. upon them. 2 Bl. R. 1218.  
But he can retain them for this purpose no longer  
than 60 days after final judgment. 2 Va. Rep. 35.  
(p. 3) and within that time &c. must be levied,  
or the lien is lost.

The officer may, however, & frequently does, Process and Service  
deliver the prop<sup>y</sup> to a "receipt man," i.e. to some individual who gives a receipt for the property & promises to redeliver it to the officer, at a time certain, or on demand. *Kerb. 40. 2 Geo. 189. 190. St. Co. 386.*

But the officer takes the receipt at his own risk.  
*1 Root 153.* It is not obliged to do it in any case.  
Same practice on ex<sup>ts</sup>. *1 Root 92.*

The receipt man is not bound by a promise to deliver the prop<sup>y</sup> after the expir<sup>n</sup> of 60 days from final judgm<sup>t</sup> and if he promises to deliver on demand, he is not liable, unless demand be made within 60 days &c. except in both cases where the goods are under a prior incumbrance, in this case the trust remains till the expiration of 60 days, after the incumbrance is removed. *2 Geo 190. Kerb. 40. 1 Root 486.*

Process and Service  
Attachments.

If then the promise is to redeliver on demand, & no demand is made within 60 days &c. the receipt-man is bound to deliver the prop<sup>y</sup> back to debt<sup>r</sup>; & on refusal, is liable to him in trover. (1 Koot. 40-1.  
1 Koot. 481.

In an action on such receipt, it is not accept<sup>d</sup> for the off<sup>r</sup> to aver in the declaration, that the judgment or ex<sup>te</sup> remains unsatisfied. (1 Koot. 92.

Mobile prop<sup>y</sup> whether the State, tho' belonging to a person out of the State may be attached, & the attachment will hold the owner to trial. 1 Koot. 497. Even if the p<sup>ty</sup> also lies out of the State. In the last case must not the action be brought in the C<sup>t</sup> n. with the prop<sup>y</sup> is C. 1 Koot. 497.

So, invisible prop<sup>y</sup> i. e. debts due to a debtor out of the State may be attached. 1 C. 137 139. 2 Glan. 189.



If visible prop<sup>y</sup> belonging to an absent or abs<sup>ent</sup> Process & Service  
serving debtor is not exposed to view, service is made  
by leaving a copy of the attachment, with the att<sup>y</sup>,  
agent, factor or trustee, in whose poss<sup>ession</sup> the prop<sup>y</sup> is.  
And this service alone is suff<sup>icient</sup> unless the absent debtor  
is an inhabitant of this State, or has dwelt in it in  
which case a copy must also be left at his last or usual  
abode in the State (St. C. 135. Tit. 4. 1 Root. 387)

Same rule when invisible prop<sup>y</sup> as debts due the absent  
debtor is attached. (St. C. 139. 1 Root. 387)

But in all cases when the def<sup>endant</sup> is out of the State  
at the term of the action commenced, & does not return  
before the first day of the term, the cause must be  
continued to the next term, & if at the second term the  
def<sup>endant</sup> does not appear his himself or att<sup>y</sup>; & it appears  
probable, that he has had no notice of the fact, then  
it may continue the action to the term, next follow<sup>ing</sup>,  
& no longer. At third term if he does not appear,  
judgm<sup>ent</sup> is to be rendered by default. (St. C. 25. No. 4.  
393-4)

# Process & Service

But in all such cases ex<sup>r</sup> is stayed till plaintiff lodges with the clerk a bond, in double the amount recovered & with one or more justices to refund to the def<sup>t</sup> what he may recover of the plaintiff by reversing or annulling the judgment by writ to the Court within 12 mo. after entering up the first judgment. 4 C. 25 1 An. 335-6.

If no bond is lodged, the judgment is erroneous 2 An. 267. 1 Root. 176.

One decided, that the judgment was void. Reason given denied. 1 An. 335-6. 1 Root. 176.

The Stat. provides that real estate taken upon such ex<sup>r</sup> shall not be aliened, till after the expiration of the 12 months or after a new trial had on a writ of error within 12 months. 4 C. 25.

By a State the action is brought ag<sup>t</sup> a def<sup>t</sup> out of Process and Service of the State (at ante) before a single magistrate, & there is no appearance for the def<sup>t</sup>; the action shall be adjudged for a term not less than 3 months & not exceeding 9 months. "I there without special matter alleged be the actionable shall come to trial." H. C. 4<sup>th</sup> 16.

If judgm<sup>t</sup> is rendered by the magistrate ag<sup>t</sup> the absent debtor, the Ja'ja ag<sup>t</sup> the garishee is to be signed by the magistrate, who rendered the orig<sup>t</sup> judgm<sup>t</sup> unless he is removed by death or otherwise before the Ja'ja is quod out; in which case it may be signed by another magistrate. (H. C. 4<sup>th</sup> 17)

And where the demand in the Ja'ja does not exceed 10 dolls. it must be made returnable before the magistrate, who rendered the orig<sup>t</sup> judgm<sup>t</sup> or, if he is dead or removed (at ante) before another magistrate. But if the demand exceeds 10 dolls. it must be made returnable to the C<sup>t</sup> & C<sup>t</sup> in that County, in which the plff or def<sup>t</sup> in the Ja'ja dwell. H. C. 4<sup>th</sup> 18

## Miscellaneous Rules

In actions on joint securities or cont<sup>d</sup>. If all the def<sup>s</sup> are not inhabitants of this State, Service upon such of them as are, is suff<sup>t</sup> to hold them all to trial. In this case the suit is not continued of course, but if any of the def<sup>s</sup> out of the State are aggrieved by the judgm<sup>t</sup>, they may be relieved by and, et quer<sup>a</sup> St. 25-6.

But if one of the def<sup>s</sup> tho' out of the State, is an inhabitant of it, so that Service upon him (by leaving a copy at the place of his last usual abode, is suff<sup>t</sup> St. 35. 138. Rich 4.) the cause must be continued over term, at least (at ante p. 5.) For, in this case the State does not give relief by and, et quer<sup>a</sup> (St. 25-6.) If not cont<sup>d</sup> judgm<sup>t</sup> is erroneous. (St. 6. Middlesex, 68 an Act of Error. If the def<sup>t</sup> is under the care of a conservator, the latter should be cited, or appears, but if he is not cited, the writ does not abate, but time is allowed to cite him. Rich. 174. post

post





(ante)

Deputy Jiff cannot serve process for or upon, the Jiff for he acts for the Jiff & under his author<sup>y</sup> in either case. But the Jiff may serve for, or upon his Deputy. *Whebe vs. Phelps* C. C. Sep<sup>r</sup> 1802 (ante see 3 Mac. 238.

(ante)

So doubtless, one dep<sup>y</sup> may serve for or upon another ante

When laws, statutes, proclamations, or other communications are to be made service is made by leaving a copy, with the clerk or either of the justices or committee-men. (St. C. 116.

In Eng<sup>l</sup> a prisoner in custody for an offence, cannot be served with civil process, without leave of the Ct or one of the Judges. (45 R. 37. 1 H. bl. 129. See in Conn.

Time of making  
service.

In Ga<sup>l</sup> Court to Sup<sup>r</sup> Ct or Ct C<sup>l</sup> the time of legal notice in ordinary cases is 12 days i.e. the process must be served, or del<sup>d</sup> 12 days including before the day of the Ct's sitting. In Ga<sup>l</sup> before Justice magistrates, 6 days inclusive. St. 24. 2 Ga. 188.

But in suits agt towns, societies, fraternal & other communities, the Const before a single magistrate service must be made, 12 days before the day of the Court sitting. (P.C. 116. 1 Root. 109. 2 Geo. 1887.

And a writ of prig attachment before, whatever Court returnable, must be served by leaving a copy with the garnishee, and as the case may be at the place of the debt last usual place of abode for and 14 days, at least, before the sitting of the Court. P.C. 155. 2 Geo. 189.

note

So, in suits agt officers, for not executing a writ, or for not returning it, or for making a false return, the time of legal notice is 14 days. P.C. 285. 2 Geo. 189.

This rule holds, I conceive, only in cases of complaint under the Statute & not in the ordinary cases of writ at Common Law, ex. on officer's return, &c. that the latter are governed by the Statute 20. 1. Geo. 2. 184. and

note

Do. Whether it does not hold as to Juits at Com. Law. —  
Judge to inform that the Sub. Ct. have consid<sup>d</sup> the proc<sup>s</sup>  
to all Juits ag<sup>t</sup> officers for not executing writs &c. that  
they may have two days to take their own remedy.

In all these cases, the day, on which the writ is served is  
included in the comput<sup>n</sup> of the time; & that on which the  
Ct. sits, is excluded.

And if service is made on the last day allowed for service;  
it must be completed before the evening twilight is gone,  
and while there is light suff<sup>t</sup> to enable the officers to read  
the process.

Qui tam prosecutions (not to recover penalties, and  
not within the above rule, as to length of notice). They may  
be brought forthwith process; i.e. a warrant issued on a  
written complaint made to a magistrate. 1 Root 426.

It, however, they are not in the form of civil actions  
(as in many cases they are) the usual notice, in other cases  
is necessary, I conclude.

A citation, after the writ returned, to deft conservator,  
is not within any of the above rules. deft that reasonable  
notice is given; and if in the opinion of the Ct the notice  
is too short, the Ct in its discretion, will continue the  
cause, or postpone the trial. (Hob. 174. ante)

One deft cannot take adv<sup>t</sup> of a defective service upon  
his co deft. (Hob. 174.)

Of Bail.Of Bail.

Of two kinds in Conn<sup>t</sup> 1. To the Officer 2. Special Bail  
 I. When the Capt. of the ship is arrested under <sup>an</sup> own attachment<sup>to</sup>  
 it is the duty of the officer to keep him safely, that he may  
 be forth-coming in Court — unless he offers to the officer  
 sufficient bail for his appearance. (3 Bl. 299. 106  
 This is bail to the officer

If, then, no bail is offered, the officer must regularly, commit  
 the ship to prison, for safe custody. (3 Bl. 299) That a ship  
 arrested on maritime process, cannot be committed in Conn.  
 without a mittimus, signed by a magistrate, i.e. a  
 justice, directed to the gaoler, declaring the cause of com-  
 mitment; and requiring him to receive & keep the ship &  
 till released by due order of Law. 104. 199. 218. Mittimus necessary because the writ does  
 not order commitment. Officer commits the gaoler owes  
 to our practice, takes bail, if offered. (Judge R.) 2<sup>d</sup> The  
 bail bond, thus taken, is assignable, as in other cases (1 Inst. 1)  
 2 Cor. R. 3-4.

(post.)



But by St. 23 A.C. in Eng. & by our St. the off<sup>r</sup>  
is bound to accept surety bail, when offered, & to discharge  
the def<sup>t</sup>. (3 Bl. 290. Tidd. 106. St. C. 38.) not so at Com. Law.

To bail or admit to bail, a person arrested, is to deliver  
him to the <sup>proper</sup> parties, on their giving surety for his appearance.  
(3 Bl. 290.) & he is supposed to continue in their legal  
custody, instead of going to goal. &c.

The off<sup>r</sup>'s right to arrest the body of def<sup>t</sup> on mere process,  
is founded on his ultimate right to take it in ex<sup>te</sup> - but as  
the object is only to secure def<sup>t</sup>'s person, to be taken in ex<sup>te</sup>;  
the purpose is answered, in contempl<sup>n</sup> of Law, by putting  
him in the custody of just parties. a sort of keepers. (3 Bl. 290.)

The security given is called a bad bond - the obligors are  
called bail. (3 Bl. 290. 2 Str. 190.)

Of Bail.

The Bail under our St. must consist of "one or more substantial inhabitants of this State," of suff. ability to respond the judgment that may be recovered. St. C. 38-2 Jan. 196.

The Bail bond is conditioned for the appearance of deft. before the Court to which the writ is returnable. St. 38-9 2 Jan. 197. (Recd. office's 2. P.)  
This bond being given, the deft. must be immediately liberated from arrest. St. C. 37.

If the officer refuses to accept of suff. bail, when tendered, he is liable to the deft. for false imprisonment. 2 Jan. 196. 5 Dec. 197. Co. Most 120. 4<sup>th</sup> only. ) if tendered before commitment 2 Jan. for false imprisonment. Case 1 Dec. 206. 2 May 313. 1 Com 487. 5<sup>th</sup> 552. Com. C. 141. or 196.

If def<sup>t</sup> is committed to prison for want of bail, he Of Bail.  
 can be obtained, on the attachment, no longer than 5 days,  
 after the rising of the Ct in which he is taken, or if not  
 levied upon, him within the 5 days, the goods must dis-  
 charge him, or payment of the goods fees. (P. C. c.  
 2. in 171. These the def<sup>t</sup> is not to do, leaving  
 no ex<sup>t</sup> if his relatives declare, in writing, to take out action,  
 the def<sup>t</sup> may be discharged by the Court from within the  
 ex<sup>t</sup> issued. (P. C. c. 176. Barn. 258. 16, in C. I  
 resume.

The officer may, if he pleases, release the def<sup>t</sup> without  
 bail, if he appears or surrenders himself, or the ex<sup>t</sup>  
 the officer is taken. But this proceeding is at the peril of  
 the officer, for having arrested def<sup>t</sup> he is bound to have  
 him forthcoming. Otherwise, liable for an escape. (3 Bl.  
 270. ~~the def<sup>t</sup>~~ 587. Kirk 209.

C. Bail

That the officer cannot himself become bail: for he cannot give a bond to himself. In an action, therefore, for an escape, a plea by officer that he gave his own bond for appearance, & that he is responsible &c. is no defence. Kirk 209. W. L. 388. See 4 Mac. 462. 5 Co. 89. 3 W. 290. Doug. 452 or 466.

Any undertaking, otherwise than by bail bond that a deft arrested on mesne process, shall appear &c. is void by 11 H. 6. (15 H. 418. 7 H. 109. 229.

In Conn. if the off<sup>r</sup> takes a suff<sup>t</sup> bail; he is liable to the off<sup>r</sup> or non est returned upon the ex<sup>t</sup> in an action for escape. 1 St. 29. 1 Root. 54.

Now in Eng<sup>d</sup>— The practice there is, to rule the off<sup>r</sup> first, to return the writ, & then to bring in the Cont<sup>r</sup>. If he does not on the latter rule, respect bail above; an attachment issues ag<sup>t</sup> him, to compel him to pay cost &c. 3 W. 291. Hild. 167. 1 Mac. 58. 206. 4 Mac. 461-2. 1 W. Bl. 222. 2. Mat. 180-1. 2 W. Bl. 1206.

Decided in *Conner* that the officer is not liable, *Of bail*  
 if he takes bail apparently <sup>by</sup> *Just* at the time, tho' they  
 should afterwards *Just* (1 *Moat* 54. *W.L.* 388. *Post*  
*News in Eng.* 3 *W.L.* 291. *Tidd* 156.

The bail may, at any time, on suspicion of the prin-  
 cipal's intending to escape, take his body, wherever  
 he may be, and surrender him to the officer. Has  
 been *held*, that they may take him even on Sunday  
 and surrender him afterwards, tho' an *original* arrest  
 on that day, w<sup>d</sup> be illegal. (1 *Wac.* 205. 6 *Moat* 231  
 7 *W.L.* 44. 85. 98. 2 *W.L.* 706. *Post* ) 3 *Val* 148. 8 *W.L.*  
*Post* 1 *Val* 262. *Decided* *con.* 2 *W.L.* 1275. & the  
 can be held to a w<sup>d</sup> escape, in this particular. (5 *W.L.* 25  
*My. No.* 29. 3. *Post* ) That the officer is *not*  
*bound* to accept a surrender before the return of the  
*warrant* it is *optional* with him. *News of bail* above  
*Post* ) They may surrender at any time, & officer  
 must accept. (1 *East* 385. 290. 6 *W.L.* 703. 7 *W.L.* 122  
 8 *W.L.* 456.



Of Bail.

The Bail have no author<sup>y</sup> to command assistance, in taking their principal; but they have a right to obtain it, if they can - and their assistants cannot lawfully be resisted.

Decided in Conn. that an off<sup>r</sup> having made an arrest may by an escape warrant, retake the prisoner in another place. 1 Root 107 (Notes 29. 3.

The Bail bond is negotiable, both in Eng<sup>d</sup> & Conn<sup>t</sup> i.e. to the plaintiff in the action (Tidd 156-7. 3 Bl 290-1. 1 Mac 297. Spey. Ann. 76 L. 338. 1 Root 281.

So that the action on the bond may be brought after assignment in the name of the plaintiff. 1 Bac. 264. 4 B. 462. Tanton v. Thrale. 10 B. 107. Nov<sup>r</sup> adj<sup>t</sup> term, 1798. - But it is usually good in Conn. in the officer's name.

If plaintiff accepts an assignment of the Bail bond, he, James Coote, discharges the officer. Tidd. 156. Sal 99. 1 Wils 226.

If the bail are just: the plff in Court is bound to Of Bond.  
 accept an agreement; & on discharge of the officer at least  
 he cannot recover agst the officer after refusing to accept it.  
 So, if they were apparently just at the time (ante )  
 (Root. 52. HoL. 285. R. C. 39.) But must seek his remedy  
 on the bail bond.

If then the plff having refused to accept an agreement  
 of the bond, loses the officer for an escape, it is a good  
 plea for the latter, that he took just bail, or bail  
 apparently so (ant. sup. 2.) & has offered to assign &c. When  
 the case comes upon the question of fact, whether the bail  
 were just &c. (Root 54. HoL. 285.)

Is it necessary for the officer to plead, that he offered to  
 assign & or is it the plff's duty to demand it? The Act  
 provides, that "no recovery shall be had agst the officer  
 unless he shall have taken insufficient bail, or shall refuse  
 to let the plff have the bail bond." which seems to imply,  
 that it is the plff's duty to demand it. (R. C. 39.) & that  
 pleading a refusal to assign would be just &c.

28  
Of Bail

If the officer, having rece<sup>d</sup> judgment on the Bail bond, dies; a judgment by the ex<sup>t</sup> is not barred by debt paying, the original debt being. The ex<sup>t</sup> may still recover, on the death of the officer's fees & disbursements (1 doct 259.

A debt cannot be twice holden to bail for the same cause of action. Tidd. 35 i.e. while a suit is pending on one arrest, debt cannot be arrested again for same cause. If he is, the Court will discharge him. (Tidd. 35-6. Spar. 1209. 1216. Formerly, if debt was non-suited in the first action, he would not afterwards arrest debt for same cause. Tidd. 36. 2 W. 699. 1 Spar. now. Spar. 1139. 1209. 2 W. 531. But now, in Eng<sup>d</sup> in debt on judgment debt cannot be arrested, if he was arrested in the original action (Tidd. 37. Spar. 782. 1039. 2 W. 93. 2 W. 756.

The condition of the Bail Bond is, that if the debt appears at the time and place &c. yet, his non appearance does not of course, work a forfeiture of the bond. For, by the Stat. the Bail are made liable only "in case of the plaintiff's attendance, & a return of non est inventory upon the "ex<sup>t</sup>." 1 R. 2. 59. Kirk 209. 382. 434. 2 Geo. 174.

If then, deft is not forfeited in Court, it is plffs of Bail duty, if he would subject the bail, to take out ex<sup>te</sup> & to use due diligence to have his body taken. And if deft is forfeited on the ex<sup>te</sup> before non est returned; the bail are saved.

If however, the principal make avoid (i.e. is not forfeited either in Ct or on the ex<sup>te</sup>) and non est invo. is returned; the bail are liable. It. Co. 39. 2 Hen. 174. Terts. 382. 434. their liability extends to debt and costs. H.L. 587. — The return of non est invo. must be made, I conclude, both as to the person & personal estate not as to real estate I suppose. For plff is not obliged to accept real est in discharge, or custody of the body. (ante)

(ante)

The proper action to be brought on the bail bond appears to be debt — tho from the words of the Spe it seems that Ca will lie. (It. Co. H.L. 587. 2 Hen. 172. 175. Terts. 386. 411. 428-9.

In Eng the action must be brought in that Court in which the orig<sup>l</sup> action was brought. (8 Hen. 52. C. M. 365. 3 Hen. 1942. 3 M.C. 348. 2 M.C. 838. Cases in Conn

Of Bail.

Indeed an actual surrender of principal upon the ex<sup>te</sup> is not accept<sup>d</sup> to give the bail. For it is the duty of the off<sup>r</sup> holding the ex<sup>te</sup> to make diligent search for him; & if, by the use of due diligence, the off<sup>r</sup> cannot take him, the bail are liable. *Leas, not liable. 2 Lu 174. R.L. 387. Kirb 382. 384.*

But it has been determined, in a case in which the principal shut himself up in an upper room, & by threats, prevented the officers from taking him, that the bail were liable for his avoidance. *Kirb. 382. 2 Lu 175.*

The return o' non est must be <sup>in</sup> the form made or the bail are not liable. If ~~plff~~ <sup>plff</sup> by, artifice, procures such a return to be made, unconscionably, for the purpose of obtaining the bail, they are discharged. *2 Lu 174. R.L. 387. Kirb. 383-4.*

Just.

Just.



It is clearly not necessary for the off<sup>r</sup> in order to Bail  
subject the bail, to delay the return till the expiration of  
the 60 days, for the purpose of finding the principal. All  
that the law requires, is, that he act fairly and reasonably.  
Hob. 388-4. 434. Wils. 357. 2 Wm. 175.

If the principal dies before non est in<sup>o</sup> returned, the bail  
are bound "Actus Dei" (12 Jac. 216-7. 1 Hol 326. Rule 47.

Bail to the off<sup>r</sup> then, may be discharged, 1<sup>st</sup> by an actual  
surrender of the body in Ct either by his bail or himself.  
12 Jac. 218. 1 Hol 327. Hob. 389. 2 Wm. 175. 2<sup>nd</sup> by a  
surrender of his body (or a sabbon, a tender of just fees  
(prop<sup>r</sup>) on the re<sup>t</sup> before non est in<sup>o</sup> returned, by his being  
in a situation in which he might be taken by the use of due  
diligence. (2 Wm. 175-5.) or by his death. (at law.)

3<sup>rd</sup> by writ he been remitted by the procuring and  
entering special bail. (See 2 Hook. 101.) 4<sup>th</sup> (Part) by  
the off<sup>r</sup> accepting a plea (without special bail, or the words  
"in custody" &c. &c. by the off<sup>r</sup> obtaining final judgment. (2 Wm.  
175-6 Part. 1. 6. Principal discharged. Lamb. 120. Part  
438.

*Of Bail*

A man's appearance in Court without a Warrant,  
without pleading, does not discharge the bail. 2 Hu 175  
Kirb 434. Does not a Warrant, then 2 St. C. 39 Off. 2. infra

If def<sup>t</sup> is imprisoned in Court. It is except for the safety  
of the bail, that the Warrant be entered on the record.  
For no other than the record ev<sup>t</sup> is admissible to prove  
the fact. 2 Hu 174 Kirb 18 Rob 210 Cro. 3402. 1 Hu 24  
Ray 50. 3 Wils 192.

Can Jack Warrant the def<sup>t</sup> must move the Ct that the  
def<sup>t</sup> be taken into the def<sup>t</sup>'s custody. Otherwise he may go  
at large, & the def<sup>t</sup> loses the benefit of the arrest. 1 To L. 374  
In. It is not the duty of the Ct. ex of<sup>o</sup> to order def<sup>t</sup>  
into custody 2 St. C. 39 Off. 1 It is not the practice.

If the principal is in custody for a crime, the bail may  
bring him up by hab. corpus, to Warrant him. 1 Wils. 218.

When the deft whose body has been attached appears Of Bail  
 in Court (does not enter Special Bail) he must plead, if the  
 plff requires it, "in custody of the Ct." And, if plff accepts  
 a plea, not containing these words, the deft's body is  
 discharged (R. Lo. 388.) 2 Str. 175 High. 204 - and, of  
 course the bail. Qu. Does the rule hold, if he is forwar-  
ded in Ct. - The acceptance of the plea is a waiver  
 of plff's right to hold the body. (2 Root. 101)

But if the deft having pleaded "in custody" personally  
 in the orig. action, he is not obliged, on a new trial (he's  
 granted) to plead in custody again. (Butler vs. Eaple, 10 Cr.  
 109.) He is not obliged, on the new trial, to give Special  
Bail. For this is given merely to prevent his being taken  
 into custody. The deft has answered the law, by for-  
 warding himself at the return of the writ; & on  
 obtaining judgment he was, of course, released, acc'd to  
 law

## Of Bail.

If plff accepts, in C<sup>o</sup> E<sup>t</sup> from a def<sup>t</sup> whose body has been attached, a plea, not containing the words "in custody" &c. (no special bail being given) & the def<sup>t</sup> prevails; plff can not in C<sup>o</sup> E<sup>t</sup> require the def<sup>t</sup> to plead in custody, or give special bail. He has waived that right, by accepting the plea. 2 Root 101

Same rule, I conclude, if plff had prevailed in C<sup>o</sup> W<sup>o</sup> and def<sup>t</sup> had applied — for there would be the same waiver.

So, I conclude, the same rule would obtain, on a new trial, procured by either party, & whoever prevailed on first trial. (*causa quæ sapientia*)

Appearance is the first act of def<sup>t</sup> in C<sup>o</sup>. Tidd. 122  
 vol 8. In Eng. by St 12 C<sup>o</sup> I plff may enter a common  
 appearance, for def<sup>t</sup>. Tidd. 122-6. (ante)

(ante)

S<sup>t</sup> 129. 2 Root 348

The def<sup>t</sup> appears regularly either by himself or att<sup>o</sup>.  
 At C<sup>o</sup> L. Tasker could not in general appear by att<sup>o</sup>.  
 2 Root 600. Now, by St. Westminster 2<sup>d</sup> they may. Tidd. 114  
 Co. L. 128 a. 121 a. 1 Root 244. 2. Root 83. "Consensu"  
 aggregate must appear by att<sup>o</sup>. Tidd. 114. Co. L. 66 b.  
 C<sup>o</sup> Sup<sup>r</sup> C<sup>o</sup> has decided, that an att<sup>o</sup> may not appear  
 for a town plff unless appointed by a vote of the town or  
 by an agent, authorized by vote to retain an att<sup>o</sup>. 2<sup>d</sup> Root 119  
 by guardian or next friend — do, by guard. Tidd. 119 b.

Co. L. 125th. "Tit Wash & Wife" "Guard & Ward of Bail."  
 Plff. cannot appear as atts. St. 387 Root 258.

## II. <sup>259</sup> Of Special Bail

When a deft who has been arrested, is brought into Ct by  
 an off<sup>r</sup> or imprisoned into Ct by his bail, or by his own  
 vol<sup>t</sup> act, he may be admitted to Special Bail. As soon  
 he is discharged out of custody, T.C. 288 3 Pl. 290  
 and the bail to the Plff. are, of course, discharged.

This is called in Eng<sup>d</sup> "bail above" or "bail to the action."  
 3 Pl. 290-1. T.C. 156.

And if not imprisoned, he is not allowed to plea, without  
Special Bail. (St. C. 39) if Plff. requires it.



## Special bail.

Special bail, acc<sup>d</sup> to our Stat. must consist of "suff<sup>t</sup> sureties" but it is common to accept one surety (St. C. 39)  
If the plff. does not accept the sureties offered, the Court decides upon their sufficiency, by requiring a return thereon.

In Conn. special bail is given in open Court only -  
By the sureties entering into a recogniz<sup>ce</sup> in a plff. <sup>St. C. 39</sup> that the def<sup>t</sup> shall "abide the final judgment" <sup>St. C. 39</sup>  
The recogniz<sup>ce</sup> is made payable to the plff. <sup>St. C. 39</sup> 12 -  
Decided that the party for whom "benefit" a recogniz<sup>ce</sup> is taken may sue upon it, whether he is compe<sup>r</sup> or not. <sup>Rob. 248</sup>

In Eng<sup>d</sup> it may be taken before a Judge or Commissioner out of C<sup>t</sup>, 3 Bl. 291. -

If the recogniz<sup>ce</sup> is forfeited, the Special Bail are obliged to satisfy the whole judgment rendered ag<sup>t</sup> their principal.

But it is forfeited no otherwise than by the principals avoid<sup>ance</sup> & a return of non est <sup>ante</sup> on the 4<sup>th</sup> as in case of bail for appearance. (ante) <sup>St. C. 39</sup>  
Kates 248.

In Eng. the bail are discharged by forfeiting the Special Bail  
principal before the return of the Writ agt themselves.  
 14th. 147-9. 1 Writ. 270. 2 C. 11. 592. 117. 1 C. 11.  
 74. 2 C. 11. 176-7. 1 Dec. 214. Am. 10. 61.

In Eng. an attor of the Pl cannot be Special Bail  
 to prevent maintenance & boycage. Dow. 450 m. or 414 m.  
 1 R. 10. 103. 1 C. 11. 74. Hay, in Conn.

In Eng. bail to the action, i.e. special bail there,  
 have, for the purpose of taking their principal, "a right"  
 "to go into his house, as much as he has himself" i.e. I  
 suppose a right to break his doors. (2 C. 11. 120.)

Had they may break and enter the house of a stranger,  
 in which he resides, to look for him, the outer door being  
 open. (2 C. 11. 120. ~~the same~~) An. Is it necessary that  
 the outer door be open?

Do not the same rules apply to bail for appearance?

Special Bail

Qu. Whether special bail, recognized in one State, can take the principal by virtue of the bail-price, in another? Decided that they may, by Sup. Ct. in the case of Hoff vs Waldrop, - some years since. 16 L. 387 3 Day. 481 7 Johns. 5 Exp. 170 n. Also decided in C. an officer, having made an arrest, may, by an escape-warrant, retake his prisoner in another State. 1 Wash. 107 My. No. 29. 3. (ante )

(ante )

For the Nature and Form of a Bail-Receipt see 3 Bl. 291. 2 App. 4 N. 3 ff. 5.

It is merely an entry or memorandum of the proceeds<sup>d</sup> in writing del<sup>d</sup> to Special Bail.

Bail may break an outer door, to retake principal 7. Johns.

If special judgment is rendered agt<sup>t</sup> del<sup>t</sup>, the rule is, that on process he is arraigned & witnesses & jurors in. The Special Bail are bound to take bail for appearance ante ) to satisfy the whole judgment - debt, or dam<sup>t</sup>, and costs. 16 L. 388. 1. C. 39

(ante )

The usual & most proper action ag<sup>t</sup> Special Bail. Special Ward.  
is a vi-ga & being founded on matter of record. It

C. 39. 2 Jan. 1775 Root. 378.

Tho. I suppose, it may be lost.

On the vi-ga, the judge rendered ag<sup>t</sup> the principal,  
is affirmed ag<sup>t</sup> the bail, with additional costs. W. H.  
39.

That the vi-ga, or other process on the recogn<sup>t</sup> must  
be served on the bail, within 12 m<sup>o</sup> after that judge  
has ag<sup>t</sup> bail to the Shff. are subject to the same  
limit<sup>n</sup>. C. 39. 2 Jan. 1775 2 Root. 380.

Decided that the 12 m<sup>o</sup> are calendar m<sup>o</sup> not lunar.  
2 Root. 380. General rule of Comm<sup>o</sup> Law, cont<sup>d</sup>.  
H. L. 252. 2 W. 141. 6 T. B. 224. Ch. 143.

## Special Bail.

The particular day, on which judgm<sup>t</sup> was read<sup>d</sup> ag<sup>t</sup> the principal, may be proved otherwise than by the record; 2 Inst. 380-1. For no entry on the record is made, in our practice, of the particular day, on which judgm<sup>t</sup> is readen<sup>d</sup>, all judgm<sup>t</sup>s being entered as of the first day of the term.

If special bail is given in C. Ct. & an appeal taken, the fi ga or other process must be ground on the Bail, within 12 m<sup>o</sup>, after the judgm<sup>t</sup> is readen<sup>d</sup> in C. Ct. The judgm<sup>t</sup> in C. Ct. in such case is not final, within the meaning of the limiting clause in the Sp. For it is disregarded by the appeal.

In conseq<sup>e</sup> of this limit<sup>a</sup> ex<sup>te</sup> must be taken out ag<sup>t</sup> the principal, & non est rese. returned within 12 m<sup>o</sup> at law<sup>a</sup>. It must be taken out in each year, as that the return may be fairly made (ante) ex. gr. not on the day before the year expires.

(ante)



But according to Wright, it may be taken out at Special Bail any time, who will admit of "due diligence to take" the principal. 2 Wm. 175. Cooke vs. Collins, 1 L. C. & C. 218. 1809.

Sureties or Bonds or recognizances for provs<sup>r</sup> are not within this limit<sup>n</sup>. (1 Inst. 306. 663. 2 Wm. 175 ante)

I suppose by the prov<sup>r</sup> of an appeal by def<sup>t</sup> does not issue into the Special Bail. (See ante). In this case both bondsmen are liable (if judgm<sup>t</sup> goes ag<sup>t</sup> def<sup>t</sup>) for costs, & the Special bail, on the return of non est, for the debt or dam<sup>t</sup> also.

Under our St. Special Bail & bail to the Juff. may, on judgm<sup>t</sup> being revers<sup>d</sup> ag<sup>t</sup> them & before Salisackee, maintain an action ag<sup>t</sup> their principal. St. 39, J. 5. 1809.

Special Bail.

And if a bond of indemnity is given, they may doubtless maintain an action upon it, as soon as they become liable; i.e. on the Principal's account. If a return of non est. is made before judgment is given, see "Cov. to save harmless". Note, it is no objection that they are indemnified by deft or any third person. (W. & Hall. 21. 103.) except deft's att<sup>n</sup> in Eng<sup>n</sup> (ante)

(ante)

If final judgment is given in favor of deft the Special Bail are, of course, discharged - as bail to the plaintiff would be; if there were no Special Bail. (2 Str. 175-6. (ante)

And an erroneous judgment is reversed by Writ of Error. Has the effect of a final judgment or rather, is deemed a final judgment within this rule. Ex. A judgment in Sub<sup>st</sup> Ct for deft. reversed in Ct of E. or a judgment in C. Ct for deft. & not appealed from, but reversed in Sub<sup>st</sup> Ct on Writ of Error 2 Str. 175-6. 1 Root. 102. 469. 562. See in Eng<sup>n</sup> Ely. 175. So, as to Grantsman for pros<sup>per</sup> in appeal by deft. 1 Root 469.

So, a judgment in favor of def<sup>t</sup> afterwards set aside, Special Bail  
by granting a New Trial, is final within the rule (1 Root  
469. 2 Rev. 176.

Same rule extend to bonds for pros<sup>cs</sup> generally, Schub.  
(Root 469) See.

Every judgment in chief then, rendered for def<sup>t</sup> in Dist<sup>ct</sup> Ct.  
and every such judgment is rendered in the Co. Ct. or  
by a single magistrate, not appealed from, discharges  
the bail. Schub.

Special bail are also discharged, like bail for appearance  
by a Garnisher of def<sup>t</sup>'s body (or Supply of bail<sup>ty</sup> per  
prog<sup>ss</sup> 44) on tax<sup>ts</sup> before non est. returned, or by  
his being in a situation in which he might be taken, by  
due diligence or by his death, before such return made.  
2 Rev. 175-5. 1 Rev. 216-7. 1 Col. 336 Route. 47. (ante

Special Bail.

Special bail, may be charged, or motion, if the bail have failed, or for "other reasonable cause".  
 (1 Rost. 575-6. Is of bondsmen for pros<sup>n</sup> of actions or appeals. (2)

Of Defence, and Pleading.

The def<sup>t</sup> having appeared, and where it is accept<sup>d</sup> having given special bail, or been taken into custody is to make his defence, which in Court forms the next stage of the proceedings.

In England, the first proceeding, after bail to the action, but it is the setting out, filing of the declaration which may under certain circumstances be done, at any time within a year, after going out the writ. 3 W. 292. 295.  
 4. 1 W. 6. R. 2. 425. ante

By Defence is meant a denial of the cause of Defence & Pleading  
action (3 Bl 296). — But Judge<sup>t</sup> may be tried in  
General issues, without defence, as well as after defence  
made.

Default.

Default.

1. If def<sup>t</sup> does not appear at the return of the writ  
 after being three times publicly called in Court, he is  
 said to have Default of appearance & his default is  
recorded. (St. C. 25)

In C. C<sup>t</sup> the docket is called on the first day of the  
 term, and if def<sup>t</sup> does not there appear, by himself or  
 att<sup>o</sup> & answer to his cause, on being called, at Chanc<sup>r</sup>,  
 his default is recorded — and a writ is entered up ag<sup>t</sup>  
 him, unless he appears, on or before the 2<sup>d</sup> day, & moves  
 for a trial — in which case the default is erased or his  
 paying the costs to that time. (St. 25)

The plff cannot, therefore, take out ex<sup>o</sup> upon a default,  
 till the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of the term.



Defence & Pleading  
Default

In Sup<sup>r</sup> Ct it is not usual to call the docket.  
Regularly, therefore, judgm<sup>t</sup> is not rendered, upon default  
by that Ct till the cause comes to its turn for trial  
unless the plff moves, that the cause may be called.

By a rule of both Courts however, the plff may, at  
any time take judgm<sup>t</sup> by default notwithstanding an  
appearance for def<sup>t</sup> unless def<sup>t</sup> att<sup>o</sup> will declare  
in open Ct that, in his belief, there is a serious  
defence - & unless he does this the Ct will order a  
default to be entered. This is to avoid a delay of justice  
where there is no defence.

After default made, def<sup>t</sup> is consid<sup>d</sup> in Ct for many  
purposes, 2 R. W. 381 Cal 216. Tra. 46. R. 6. 17.  
R. 6. 433. 435.

Ex gr. for the purpose of moving to be heard in dam<sup>t</sup> -  
on wch<sup>t</sup> motion a hearing is to be had, as to the amount  
of dam<sup>t</sup> only. (R. 6. 17.) 1 Sm. 96. 1 Root. 566.

So for the purpose of moving in arrest of judgment. Defence & Hearing.  
 1274. R. 453. Default.

But after a default, def<sup>t</sup> is not in C<sup>t</sup> for the purpose  
 of moving an appeal, unless there has been a hearing  
 in damages. 1 Ch. 96. R. 6. 17. 1 Rost. 56a. ante

On judgment by default, or upon demurrer dam<sup>t</sup>  
 are appealed by the C<sup>t</sup> R. 27. R. 295. (in Eng<sup>d</sup>  
 by a writ of inquiry Doug. 301.) Def<sup>t</sup> may always  
 have a hearing in dam<sup>t</sup> before the Court.

But of late a jury has been dispensed with in certain  
 cases in Eng<sup>d</sup> - as in actions of bills of Exchange. 5 R.  
 326 395 410. 1 R. Bl. 252. 528. 541. 7 R. 493.  
 4 R. 275. Ch. 194. 1 R. R. 349. Doug. 301.

In Eng<sup>d</sup> a default regularly admits nothing more  
 than that plff<sup>t</sup> is entitled to recover something.  
 5 R. 302. Doug. 302. Ch. 195. Bull 270. Ridd.  
 441. 3 R. 155.

Defence & Pleading  
Default

On default judgment, where the dam<sup>s</sup> are presumptive, & no hearing in dam<sup>s</sup> is moved by def<sup>t</sup> judge<sup>r</sup> goes ag<sup>t</sup> him, in Con<sup>s</sup> for the whole sum demanded. Under these circumstances, the def<sup>t</sup> by suffering a default, admits that he is liable, to the amount demanded. (N.L. 215) Ex. Cases of tort generally & some cases of cont<sup>t</sup>.

But if a hearing in dam<sup>s</sup> is moved, the default admits, I conceive, nothing more, than that pl<sup>ff</sup> has cause of action - & he must prove, to what amount he has sustained damage. (See 3 & No. 302. Doug. 302. So that the default, per se, admits nothing more than pl<sup>ff</sup>'s title to recover something, as in Cas<sup>o</sup> - tho if no motion is made for a hearing in dam<sup>s</sup>, judge<sup>r</sup> goes before for the whole demand, ut supra.

Where the dam<sup>s</sup> are ascertained, as by a written Defence and Pleading.  
 oblig<sup>e</sup> for money, the default admits a liability, not Default  
 to the amount of the demand but for the face of the ob-  
 lig<sup>e</sup> except so far as it is diminished by indorsements, i.e.  
 where no motion is made for a hearing, in dam<sup>s</sup>. Here  
 the Court ascertain the dam<sup>s</sup> by inspecting the oblig<sup>e</sup>  
 and subtracting the indorsements, if any. R.L. 216.

Same rule where dam<sup>s</sup> are ascertainable by reference  
 to a known standard - as in actions on oblig<sup>e</sup>s for  
 collateral articles. Here the C<sup>t</sup> enquire of the affidavit  
 as to the value of the articles, tho' no motion, in affidavit  
 (R.L. 215) and subtract the indorsements if any.

But if such motion is made after default, the debt  
 may in Conn. prove payments, not indorsed, & deduct  
 by affidavit. See, in Eng<sup>l</sup> 2 & Ke 302-3.

Defence, & Reading,  
Default.

In Eng? there being, no motion for a hearing, in dam<sup>n</sup>, but a Jury of Inquiry, the rules which regulate the am<sup>n</sup> of dam<sup>n</sup> on a default are somewhat diff<sup>t</sup> from ours. There, if the dam<sup>n</sup> are presumptive, a default admits only a cause of action. But on an oblig<sup>n</sup> for money, I admit, that def<sup>t</sup> is liab<sup>l</sup>, to the whole amount, deducting the indorsements as in C. 5 H. 302.

Nonsuit.

Nonsuit.

2. If the plff after the return of the writ, is guilty of any delays or defaults ag<sup>t</sup> the rules of law, or of the Court, he is adjudged not to pursue his action, & becomes nonsuit. 3 H. 295-6. Ex. if he omits to procure Bonds for pro<sup>n</sup> when ordered by the C<sup>t</sup> or to give o<sup>r</sup> when ordered, of his ded, back, &c.

The plff may, also, voluntarily, suffer a nonsuit, before, or after de<sup>n</sup> made, by permitting himself to be, three times publicly called, & not answering. But this must be done before the verdict is deliv<sup>d</sup> to the Cl<sup>rks</sup>. 1 Inst. 54. 12th 273 case of ret<sup>r</sup>. But if the Jury is return<sup>d</sup> to a 2<sup>d</sup> or 3<sup>d</sup> consider<sup>n</sup> he may, be come nonsuit before the 2<sup>d</sup> or 3<sup>d</sup> verdict deliv<sup>d</sup> to the Cl<sup>rks</sup>. 1 Inst. 54.



In these cases, if on motion the judge to costs whether Defence and Pleading,  
 he has made defence, or not. Not without motion. Motion. Nonsuit.  
 must be made in the term, in which the nonsuit is granted.  
 In term 269, as to traverse.

In Eng<sup>d</sup> it is common for the judge to order the plaintiff  
 to nonsuit before the cause is on trial, if he declares<sup>a</sup> does  
 not plead or his ev<sup>s</sup> does not prove a cause of action.

But the plaintiff is not obliged to submit to the order on  
being called, he may appear, & then the cause must be  
tried by the jury. 1 Thorp's Case in 11th of  
plaintiff's recovering after nonsuit ordered, & retract any  
withdraw.

Depreciation  
Nonsuit

After a nonsuit suffered under an order of Court, plff. is deemed to be in Court, for the purpose of moving to set it aside as being against law. (26 Bl. 556.)

Nonsuit never ordered in Conn.<sup>t</sup>

After nonsuit, plff. may sue again for same cause. 3 Bl. 296.

Retrahit.

3. Retrahit.

Judgm<sup>t</sup> may be rendered against plff. upon a retrahit, either before or after deprecia made. 3 Bl. 296.

A retrahit or withdrawing of the suit is an offer and vol<sup>t</sup> renunciation of it in Ct. 3 Bl. 296.

After a retrahit, plff. cannot, in Eng.<sup>t</sup>, commence a new suit for the same cause. (3 Bl. 296.) Secus, in Conn.

Plff may withdraw in any stage of the suit, in Defence and Pleading  
 when he may suffer a nonsuit (last p.) not after Retrahit.  
 verdict taken (at ante) (1 Book 552. 571.) nor after  
 a return of arbitrators, or auditors. (Hib. 273.) nor  
 after the Court has reported the substance of a decree  
 in Ch., tho' no bill in Ch. has passed. 1b.

After retrahit, if the def<sup>t</sup> must move to have judgm<sup>t</sup>  
 for costs, or he waives the right, & the motion must be  
 made in the same term, in which the retrahit is entered.  
 (Hib. 269.) No. of nonsuits.

If both parties fail to appear at the return of the writ,  
 or being three times publicly called (ante) No. (ante)  
 entry made, in our practice, is "to appearana" after  
 which the cause is out of Court. No judgm<sup>t</sup> rendered.  
 And the writ cannot be reversed, without consent of  
 both parties. (Hib. 361.) If it is, still, exceptions may  
 be filed and judgm<sup>t</sup> reversed.

Defence & Pleading  
Retrahit.

If both parties, having once appeared, fail afterwards to appear, on being 3 times publicly called, a discontinuance is entered, and the cause is out of Ct. 1 Root. 439.

Defence is made by the def't plea. (3 Bul. 296.)  
As to the diff't modes of Defence: i.e. diff't kinds of pleas, see "Plea & Pleading."

Time of making defence, or pleading:

By St. in Comm. all pleas in abatement in C. Ct. are to be made, heard, and determined, before the Jury is impannelled &c. (St. 242.) & the issue in every case joined upon that time. (St. 12. 2. § "Plea &c." § 4.

This provision has been found inconvenient, & the rule of the Ct. now is that they shall be made & heard only, before the rising of the Ct in the afternoon of 2<sup>d</sup> day. ("Plea & Pleading")

In Sup<sup>a</sup> Ct all writs in abatement must be Defence & Pleading made & tendered, or returned to the Ct by the plea<sup>st</sup> of the Court. R. M. of 2<sup>nd</sup> day. Post. 564.

Plea in abatement will go to the merits, as in fact as in Ct<sup>h</sup> not within these rules - nor plea in abatement of Writ of Error. Post. 239

Plea to the action in Sup<sup>a</sup> Ct to be made acc<sup>g</sup> to the old rule. By the opening of the Ct in morning of 3<sup>rd</sup> day, where the term is but one week, & of the 4<sup>th</sup> day - where the term is longer. Post. 564.

This rule has never been strictly regarded in practice - & since the new organization of the S. Ct. a rule is made in every term, as to those causes, which are conf for pleading in vacation. (Post.)

(Post.)



Defence & Pleading.

Changing and altering Pleas.

Under our it whenever def<sup>t</sup> suffers, that he has mispleaded his plea, he shall have liberty to alter it - in which case the C<sup>t</sup> in its discretion, may oblige him to pay costs & it is to have a reasonable time allowed for making answer to it (St. C. 342) & the C<sup>t</sup> exercises a discretion to a certain extent, in allowing the alter<sup>n</sup>. (Root, 425.

But after def<sup>t</sup> has pleaded to issue & judgment has been rendered upon it in any C<sup>t</sup>, he cannot remove to the next C<sup>t</sup>. Ex. Gen. issue in C<sup>t</sup> C<sup>t</sup> appeal to Sup<sup>r</sup> C<sup>t</sup> def<sup>t</sup> cannot demur in S<sup>t</sup> C<sup>t</sup> Co, or appeals from Justice to C<sup>t</sup> C<sup>t</sup>, St. 342. Riv. 89.

That it is a general rule, that the def<sup>t</sup> on an appeal in the C<sup>t</sup> appealed to may, change his plea, made in the C<sup>t</sup> below, of course, & without costs. Gen. usage. Def<sup>t</sup> changing, pleads he is the form in this case.

He cannot, however, go back in the order of plead<sup>t</sup> defence and Pleading,  
 as from a plea to the action, to a dilatory plea. For the <sup>changing & altering</sup> ~~changing & altering~~  
 latter are waived by pleading to the action: "Plea & Plea" <sup>pleas</sup> ~~pleas~~.  
 Nor can he change a plea of title, in trifling, on  
 appeal (ante ) (ante )

The rule, however, has been in Sup<sup>a</sup> Ct as to changing  
 in the Ct app<sup>l</sup> to, the plea made below, that it  
 must be done, by the opening of Ct in the morning  
 of 3 day, the term being one week, & of 4<sup>th</sup> day,  
 if longer (1 Rest. 564)

This rule never strictly observed, I now dispense with  
 it, (except in Sup<sup>a</sup> Ct as to causes cont<sup>d</sup> by the rule  
 to plead in vacation. (ante ) (ante )

The general rule Sup<sup>a</sup> as to changing in the Ct  
 appealed to, the plea made below, depends on  
 usage. If def<sup>t</sup> chooses to rel<sup>y</sup> in the  
 Court appealed to on the plea made below, there is  
 no need of pleading it de novo, in the Ct above.

Defence & Pleading.  
Changing & altering  
a plea.

As to the alter<sup>n</sup> of the plea, under the R<sup>u</sup>  
in the C<sup>t</sup> in which it was orig<sup>l</sup> made, it has been  
decided, that def<sup>t</sup> may alter, even after the trial  
has begun. 1 Root: 75. 404. 434. 2 B 406. 2 Gu. 22.  
It allows a new trial for mispleading, & the R<sup>u</sup> says  
"whenever" &c.

A plea made in C<sup>t</sup> to a plea in abatement  
may be attended in P<sup>r</sup> C<sup>t</sup>. 1 Root: 301.

But the C<sup>t</sup> will not allow def<sup>t</sup> to alter, in any  
case, by making a new plea, which is inapplicable  
to the action. 1 Root: 425.

Def<sup>t</sup> has been allowed to alter, by pleading to  
issue, after a dem<sup>r</sup> argued, & the second deliv<sup>r</sup> to the  
C<sup>t</sup> for judgment. (1 Root: 476-7. 2 Gu. 22.)

Decided by P<sup>r</sup> C<sup>t</sup> that pleas & abatem<sup>t</sup> may be  
attended. 2 Gu. 205.

Issue and Trial:

The issue being closed, the cause comes to trial.

Issue and Trial:

Regularly, matters of Law are to be determined by  
the Ct - matters of fact to be tried by the jury.  
§. 26-7.

Questions of Law are, however, often involved in issues  
in fact - especially in the general issue, in law  
practice. (§. 342.)

On the other hand issues in fact may, by agmt of  
both parties, be closed to be tried by the Ct - not  
without such agmt. §. 27.

Issues in law are always determined by the Ct.  
§. 26-7.

Jury and Trial After a trial began to the Jury, the C<sup>t</sup> will not stop the hearing, & continue the cause, without the consent of both parties. (2 Root 25. 46.

Our C<sup>t</sup>s do not, on giving the charge to the Jury, direct them how to find, nor give any opinion upon the fact or Law. But, if dissatisfied with the verdict, they may in civil cases retire the Jury to a 2<sup>d</sup> & 3<sup>d</sup> consider <sup>a</sup> not to a 4<sup>th</sup>. (H. C. 2<sup>d</sup> K. L. 396. 432. Kibb. 179. 416.

This may also be done in Eng<sup>d</sup>. But it is not usual; as the Judge directs the Jury in the first instance. Ho. 1182.

After the cause is committed to the Jury, no further argument or evidence can be heard. (H. 58.



The party who takes the affirmation of an issue in Issue and Trial facts, first exhibits his ev<sup>d</sup> - & his counsel open & close the argument. (1 Root. 571)

After the def<sup>t</sup> has entered upon his defence, the pl<sup>ff</sup> having closed his ev<sup>d</sup> it is discretionary in the judge in Eng<sup>d</sup> to let the pl<sup>ff</sup> into ev<sup>d</sup> on a collateral point, not before in controversy, to turn the verdict against the merits of the principal question or not. 1 East. 604.

On issues in Law, the counsel for the party, taking the exception, open & close the argument.

On material, limited, locutory questions, only one counsel can be heard on each side, without leave of the Ct.

Issue & Trial.

By it only one counsel is allowed to argue a cause over on the merits, unless the demand is above \$24. or the title of Land conveyed. It is 56. Rule not regarded much in practice.

If a person is arbitrarily made deft to prevent his testifying, there are two modes, in which the person may be debarred, at the trial. 1<sup>st</sup> If no co<sup>d</sup> agd him, the Ct will, on motion expunge his name; & permit him to testify. 2. If some slight co<sup>d</sup> agd him; he may, on motion, be tried first, & on acquittal testify. R. L. 241. §. 2. Exp. 420. Bull 285. Root 489. 2 N. 282. 420.

As to ages, Rules of Exceptions, Dem "to Co<sup>d</sup> &c. See  
"Plea & Pleadg<sup>s</sup>." For Challenges to the Jury, see  
"New Trials," "Arrest of Judgment" &c.

Verdict:

The verdict is the finding of the Jury, on the issue  
closed to them. 3 Bl. 577

Verdict

Regularly, every issue should be found affirmatively  
or negatively, in the terms of it. Not suff<sup>ce</sup> for the  
Jury to say, that they "find for plff" &c. or "that they  
find all the material facts stated." (1) Houl. 572

Yet, if they find, in terms, the substance of the issue,  
the verdict is good. See "Force of Indigment".

The Court may allow the verdict to make it formal,  
where the substance of the issue is found (1 Bl. 578  
L. L. 404 - 5

Verdict.

The constable, who waits upon the Jury, may not be present, while they are deliberating upon the cause.  
(1 Kest. 572.) See Will. Sadgum "be arrested for this cause &c."

For diff<sup>t</sup> kinds of Judgm<sup>t</sup> & their effect see  
"Pleas and Pleadings" - "Writs of Error."  
Damages: (See the titles of the General Actions.)

If the Jury give more dam<sup>t</sup> than are demanded;  
Plff may amend the writ & take Judgm<sup>t</sup> for the rest  
R. L. 434. 232. Eyn. 504. 420. 4 Bac. 25-6. 1 Kest. 66.

Interest, when recoverable see "Usury."

As to Surviving dam<sup>t</sup> when there are Sec<sup>d</sup> & def<sup>t</sup>  
See Action of Trover & of "Cp<sup>y</sup> & Battery" R. L.  
208. 433. Eyn. 537. 420.





Costs.

3. If the debt or book-debt fails to exhibit the book-acc<sup>t</sup> to be offset ag<sup>t</sup> the plff, & after 3 times an action ag<sup>t</sup> the plff to recover the book-debt, what he might have exhibited in the former action, & finally he can have no costs, unless he shews to the Court that he had no knowledge of the former acc<sup>t</sup> or was "inevitably hindered" from appearing & exhibiting his account. 2 K. 106. 10. L. 101.

4. On appeal from Probate to C<sup>t</sup> if judge & is dis-  
affirmed, for mistake of the Judge; no costs. Like  
Writ of Error, 1 Root. 101. 10. L. 101. 10. L. 101. 10. L. 101.  
But, if the mistake is occa-  
sioned by fraud or neglect in appellee.

Our Act provides that in actions of Trespass, Assault  
and Battery, & Trespas on the Case brought before the  
Sup<sup>r</sup> or County Court if the damages found, do not  
amount to 4 doll<sup>r</sup>s, plff shall recover no more costs  
than dam<sup>s</sup> unless the title, or inheritance or interest  
of lands, or goods or chattels is the principal matter  
"in question." 2 K. 268 9. 2 Root. 88. 100. Or,  
unless the def<sup>t</sup> shall have appealed to the C<sup>t</sup> or  
Sup<sup>r</sup> C<sup>t</sup>; in which case the plff. if he recovers judgment  
shall have full costs, 2 K. 29 "to prevent several  
Evocations Sub. Plea of title not necessary to the attorney  
of full costs." 2 Root. 88. 100.

But this Pr. Verdict, has not been construed to Costs.  
 extend to actions on the case founded on cont. (2 L.  
 268-9.

trover for 14<sup>s</sup> for L. 41. Ex<sup>ra</sup> debts to plff after Just  
Cost. Damages 12/8 & plff costs allowed 1/1000. 136.  
 2 L. 289.

Whenever def appeals from a Judgm<sup>t</sup> on a plea in  
abatement, & does not support the plea, in the Court  
 appeal'd to, costs shall be taxed ag<sup>t</sup> him, up to the  
Judgm<sup>t</sup> on the plea in abaten<sup>t</sup>. & ex<sup>ra</sup> shall issue  
 for them however the cause may finally issue. (R. 22.  
 2 L. 269. To discourage frivolous exceptions.

After a writ has been abated & amended, if plff  
 obtains final Judgm<sup>t</sup>, he recovers no costs; and  
 is bound before the amendment except for writ costs,  
 and off<sup>rs</sup> for. (R. 6. 89. 2 L. 269. To L. 391.

Conty.

On appeal from Probate to Sup<sup>r</sup> Ct by a minor,  
the decree being affirmed, costs were taxed ag<sup>t</sup> the minor  
(1 Root 525.) Dec. Should not the costs have been taxed  
ag<sup>t</sup> the guardian & "Parent & Child?"

On motion in arrest of Judgment, if recorder is awarded,  
full costs are taxed on the final judgment. (1 Root 525.)

If in action ag<sup>t</sup> several, one deft obtains a verdict  
& the plff proceeds ag<sup>t</sup> the others, the former is entitled  
to costs. But he can have only one att<sup>r</sup>'s fee taxed,  
and only by proportion of the Parent & Child fee. (1 Root  
486.)

If two defts are joined in a suit, in which they cannot  
be joined, & several, costs are taxed for each at several.  
(1 Root 530.) Says, if the recorder were proper, then  
there to be but one bill of costs taxed, for both, &  
travel & attendance for one only.

And if two or more plaintiffs recover in a Suit, only Costs one bill of costs is taxed - and travel attendant for one only.

On petition for New Trial, if the respondent is cited to appear at the terms to which the petition is returned, & the petition itself is admitted to the Ct at another term; the respondent is entitled to costs. See § 11 Ct under the citation, tho' the petition is not regularly before it. (2 Root. 31.)

In qui tam prosecutions, the defendant if acquitted, is entitled to costs, as in civil actions. (2 Root. 136.)

On judgment by confession, the magistrate can tax costs only for his own fees, unless there was an antecedent process. - If there was, the fact must appear on the record, to justify the tax<sup>n</sup> of any additional costs. - (Root 256. 152 1<sup>st</sup> Nov. 188. ante)

(ante)

Costs

Costs are regularly taxed in Ct. by the Senior Judge -  
tho it is said they may be taxed out of Ct. (Rob. 257.)

(ante )

An judgment upon plea in abatement costs are taxed in  
Ct. only up to the second day of the term. Because  
the St. provides, that such pleas shall be heard and  
determined, by that time (ante)

The att<sup>y</sup> of the prevailing party has a lien upon the  
costs and may require the officer holding the ex<sup>r</sup>  
or the adverse party, not to pay them to his client.  
Esp. 584. Doug. 226. 2 Bl. R. 826. 2 L. R. 440. 587.

But this lien is subject to any equitable claim of the  
adverse party; as to a set off. (1 L. R. 24. 128. 277.  
657. L. R. 455.)



The party amending is to pay costs, at the discretion Courts.  
of the Court. R. 22-3. 342 (not ) (not

Ordinary items of costs for plff — & def.

For the mode of setting aside Judgm<sup>t</sup>. See "Writ of Error" "New Trials"

As to Execution, See tit "Execution." Courts may remand or suspend ex<sup>ec</sup> or other writs, improvi-  
dently issued. (Comp. 191. 4 Bac. 668.

### Of Amendments:

### Of Amendments

Anciently, at Com<sup>on</sup> Law, amendments tho' allowed before the record was made up, were regularly, not permitted afterwards except in the term, in which the act, recorded took place. 3 Bl. 407. 411

1 Bac. 89. 8 Co. 161. 4 Bur. 256. Lawes on Pl.  
16-23.

Amendments

And according to the practice, which commenced about the 13<sup>th</sup> Edw. 1. I continued a long time, not even the slightest & plainest mistake could regularly be rectified after the record was enrolled. & the term paper? (3 Bl. 410. 4 Bar. 2567. Lawes on Pl. 17.

At present, amendments are more liberally allowed in Eng<sup>a</sup> at Com<sup>a</sup> Law And where Justice requires it, they are permitted at any time, while the Suit is pending - i.e. before final judgment - not afterwards. (3 Bl. 407.

But now, in Eng<sup>a</sup> all formal mistakes are in general excused by the Stat<sup>a</sup> of Writs - which are numerous, the earliest of which is that of 14 Edw. 1. (3 Bl. 407 s. 1 Rec. 90 s. 6. &c. 2 Bar. 1098 Lawes on Pl. 16 & 8.

These Eng<sup>ls</sup> & Sp<sup>s</sup> extend in gen<sup>l</sup> to artificial and Amendments  
formal, not substantial defects or mistakes. (1 Bac. 96-7.  
 101-2. Robt. 118. Cro. E. 644 & Co. 13 C. 159 a. Ex<sup>ts</sup> of  
formal: False Latin — False Spelling — misnomer &c.  
 of substantial & being it be in the debt & want  
of a proper signature &c.

We have two Sp<sup>s</sup> on this subject the first provides  
 that "no writ, pleading, judgment or proceeding, shall  
 be abated, suspended or removed reversed for any  
 "technical or circumstantial errors, mistakes or defects,  
 "if the justice of the cause may be rightly understood.  
 "by the C<sup>t</sup>. (12 J. 2.

This provision, however, is too general & vague to  
 admit of any effectual applic<sup>n</sup> in practice. — Plays  
in abatement & special demurrers for formal defects  
 are, probably, as frequent & as successful, as if  
no such Sp<sup>s</sup> existed.

## Amendments

Our old St also provides, that upon, or after in abatement to judgment is rendered in favor of def the Plff. shall have liberty to amend his writ, or part of costs to the time of the amendment. Ch. 22

(Cost)

This St. extended to formal defects only. (Cost)

Decided that a motion to amend under this St. was unnecessary. Reb 5-6.

By our new Stat enacted in 1794, the General Courts of Law and Eq may at any time permit the parties to amend any defect, mistake, or informality, in the writ, declaration, pleadings, or other parts of the record, in civil causes, upon payment of costs, at the discretion of the Court. Ch. 22. 2 Sec. 227-8. This St. differs from the old in General particulars.

1. Under this St motion to amend is necessary. (Cost per mit) Sec. under the old (Cost per mit)

2. Under the old St. the writ only was amendable. Under the new, any part of the record may be.

3. Under the old, no amendment could be made, Amendments till after judge's <sup>or</sup> aff. on plea in Abatement.

Under the new, amendments may be made, at any times by aff. even after plea made, & by either party, at any time afterwards. (1 Root. 566. 2 Root. 57. 119.)

4. An amendment under the old, aff. was obliged absolutely, to pay the Legal costs.

Under the new, the allow<sup>d</sup> as well as the amount of costs, is held to be discretionary, with the Court.  
(ante ) 1 Root 565.

(ante )

The Sup<sup>a</sup> Ct., however, allow the taxable costs agt<sup>t</sup> the party amending, almost universally. The Com<sup>n</sup> Pleas in Litchfield County, very seldom allow any.

5. Under the old Stat. Formal defects only were amendable. (ante

(ante )



Amendments

Under the new, every species of defect may be amended, except 1.<sup>st</sup> Where the process is coram non iudice, or otherwise void. 2. Sec. 205. Ex. No certificate of duty paid. 2.<sup>dly</sup> Where the amendment proposed would change the nature of the action. 1. Post. 565. 2. N. 198. 274. 492. 3.<sup>dly</sup> Where the court is not specially sworn, the amendment proposed would not do it. Ex. Sp. J. J. service Post 2. Sec. 205. (Post

(Post

Plff has been allowed to raise the dam<sup>t</sup> demanded. My Rep. 31. Emb vs Clark. N. H. 64 Jan<sup>y</sup> 1800. and in Fairfield 64 Aug<sup>y</sup> 1803. I. C. permitted a declar<sup>n</sup> to be amended, after judgm<sup>t</sup> upon demurrer that it was insufficient. 2. W. 297. Term 1. H. 21. 49. 50. 7. H. 132. 1. Post. 6. 309. 2. P. W. 500. Miff. 15. Doug 204. 316. 438. Reple<sup>n</sup> amended after verdict. Chap. 407. H. 641. 8. Mod. 376.

So, occ<sup>n</sup> indefinitely as far as changed to final. Colls vs Clark. 24<sup>th</sup> 7. H. 132.

One of two filijs permitted to amend, by erasing Amendments.  
the name of his co-filij. (1 Root. 86)

Writ of Error, misdescribing the Count Below,  
amendable, before plea. (1 Root 551. 115. 172)

Writ of Error regularly, not amendable in Eng.  
(.tots 36. 4) 1 Conn 244 Cal 49 Crank. 520. 2 Lac.  
202. 209. 5 Mod. 16. Cr. Writ of E. in Conn is in  
form, an original writ, locus in Eng. (3 Bl. Aff. ~~xxx~~)

After an amendment of the Writ, the deft may  
plead in abatement *de novo*. - For, as often, as amend-  
ments are made. For, from the time of amendment,  
it is consid<sup>d</sup> as a new writ. (Tabb. 5-6. "Plas' &c" 7. 4) ("Plas' &c")

But when a party has leave to amend he may amend  
at once, all amendable defects.

Amendments.

The record of a Justice not amendable on that of Error, unless he has some written minutes, by read to make the amendm<sup>t</sup>. (Roost 1793)

So, in S. C. & C. Ct. mistakes of the Clerk cannot be amended after the term is past, unless there are written minutes; at Sup<sup>a</sup> (1 Roost 572. Term, during the term.)

Proceedings in Ct<sup>4</sup> are amendable as at Law (Roost 472. 2 N. 274)

Defendant allowed to amend his plea after trial began to the Jury (2 Roost 406)

The Scope of amendm<sup>t</sup> do not extend to criminal Amendments.  
 prosecutions — nor to qui tam forthwith pross<sup>ts</sup>. See  
 2 Burr. 1099. 1 Broc. 95-6. Cal. 51. Co. C. 144. Co. 2.  
 414. 45 R. 55

At Com law, no difference as to amendments  
 between civil and criminal causes. 4 Burr. 2567.  
 2 Burr. 1099.

If the statement of an extrinsic fact will make the  
 writ good; it may be amended. R. L. 591.  
 Thus, where the defect in the writ is extrinsic, it  
 may be amended, if a statement of the truth will  
 make it good. Ex. Misnomer, misdescription &c.

But, if such statement will not aid the writ,  
 it is impossible to amend. Ex. Insufft. Service in  
 fact, tho' the indictment imports good Service. —  
 Here no amendment & ante 2 Ry. 205. (ante)  
 So, of pleadacy, in a Common fact for same cause. &c.

Amendments

So, in the return of process is in affid upon the face  
 of it, yet if affid in fact, the writ may be amended  
 by stating the truth. T. Co. 391. & Ch. 205.

But when the writ is void, it is impossible, in the  
 nature of the thing, to make it good, by any alter.  
 Ex. To signature of a magistrate To certificate  
 of duty paid. Ante  
 • To direction to an officer. &c.

In some instances a writ may be amended  
 by the Ct. Ex. If on a declar<sup>n</sup> contrary to good &  
 bad count, no ev<sup>d</sup> is given on the bad, & the charge  
 find a general verdict for plff; it may be  
 amended by the judge's minute & entered on their  
 count only, to wit the sentence altered. Bag 361.  
 1 Ro. vi. 399.) Now, if any ev<sup>d</sup> was given on that  
 bad count. B. 362. 2 Tames 478. Here, a  
venire de novo must issue.



So, a mistake by the Clerk, in entering a Verdict, may be amended. Amendments.  
 (See 1197. 1 Bac. 101. Cro. E. 112. Guy L. R. 375.  
 Stra. 314. Sal 53.

And a Special verdict may be amended - As  
 where a circumstance, deemed by the Ct. material,  
 & charged prover, is omitted. Stra 513. 5th Rep. 134  
 1 Bac. 101. Sal 4<sup>th</sup> 48. 4 Co. 52. Cro. E. 144.

But in a criminal case, a verdict whether  
general or special, is said not to be amendable.  
 Sal 53. 1 Bac. 101. 2 R. 141. Du. Re. 11. Mod.  
 84. Doug. 362. -

14<sup>th</sup> Feb<sup>r</sup> 1815 -

Completely compared with the original





